Militant animal rights activists storm the Grand National: 118 'Animal Rising' protesters arrested | Daily Mail Online





'This has been factored into our plans. We respect the right to peaceful protest and expression of views, but criminal behaviour and disorder will not be tolerated and will be dealt with robustly.'

An Aintree Racecourse spokesperson said: 'We respect the right to peaceful protest, but sincerely hope that Animal Rising reflects on whether their proposed actions are legitimate and responsible.

'Their actions could endanger the horses they purport to protect, as well as jockeys, officials and themselves.'

The British Horseracing Authority said: 'While we respect the rights of anyone to protest safely and legally, we condemn any action which is illegal, especially if it puts at risk the safety of horses, jockeys, officials or fans.'

Two horses have now died at this year's three-day Grand National Festival.



Activists outside the gates ahead of day three of the Randox Grand National Festival at Aintree Racecourse

Militant animal rights activists storm the Grand National: 118 'Animal Rising' protesters arrested | Daily Mail Online



A protestor holds a sign saying 'love animals' in front of police outside the racecourse



Animal welfare charity the League Against Cruel Sports has described the death toll at the Randox Grand National Festival as 'bleak'.

Emma Judd, head of campaigns and communications at the League Against Cruel Sports, said: 'The tragic death of Dark Raven at the Randox Grand National Festival - the second death in only three days - shows entertainment and gambling taking precedence over animal welfare and the lives of these horses.

'It's time for change and for the introduction of tighter safety measures at Aintree and at racecourses across the UK.'

The death toll at the Aintree Festival has now reached 61 horses since the year 2000, with 15 deaths in the Grand National.

Envoye Special, ridden by James King, fell in the Foxhunters' Chase just after 4pm on Thursday.

Four horses died at the 2022 Aintree Festival, with two horses being destroyed after the Grand National.

Share or comment on this article: Militant animal rights activists storm the Grand National: 118 'Animal Rising' protesters arrested



Animal Rising strike again as they rush onto racecourse in bid to delay Scottish Grand National | Daily Mail Online

Home /



 Home
 News
 Royals
 U.S.
 Sport
 TV&Showbiz
 Femail
 Health
 Science
 Money
 Travel
 Best Buys
 Discounts

 Breaking News
 Australia
 Video
 Russia-Ukraine
 China
 Debate
 Meghan Markle
 Prince Harry
 King Charles III
 Weather
 Most read

 ADVERTISEMENT
 Control
 Contro
 Control
 Control<

SUPER STAY Vinyl Ink



Top 🖌



Logir

y 21st 2023 6PM 15°C 🚵 9PM 9°C 🚵 5-Day Forecast

Animal Rising strike again: Police arrest protesters as they flood Scottish Grand National course in failed bid to delay race saying they are acting to 'protect animals' and vow more demos in future

- Animal Rising protesters invaded Scottish Grand National at Ayr Racecourse
- Activists also outside Parliament for Extinction Rebellion climate protest today

By OLIVER PRICE PUBLISHED: 16:00, 22 April 2023 | **UPDATED:** 17:07, 22 April 2023



Animal rights protesters have been arrested by police as they attempted to invade the race course during the Scottish **Grand National** today at Ayr Racecourse.

The Animal Rising group have taken responsibility for the incident on social media, sharing a video of 25 of their supporters storming underneath fencing and running to different positions around the track.

They attempted to attach themselves to the jumps and gates on the racetrack in an effort to 'cancel the big race'.



 Site Web
 Enter your search
 Search



Animal Rising strike again as they rush onto racecourse in bid to delay Scottish Grand National | Daily Mail Online





• for spy cameras - here are the six places they could be concealed

demos, adding that the protest at the Aintree Grand National last week was 'just the beginning'.



Animal Rising activists are apprehended by police officers as they attempted to invade the race course during the Coral Scottish Grand National festival at Ayr Racecourse



The Animal Rising group have taken responsibility for the incident on social media



Animal Rising strike again as they rush onto racecourse in bid to delay Scottish Grand National | Daily Mail Online







Protesters attempted to attach themselves to the jumps and gates on the racetrack an an effort to 'cancel the big race'



Ha	íl C) nline
----	-------------	------------

▶ 'I've never been this happy, my life finally feels like it makes sense': Taylor Swift makes VERY telling remark on stage amid Matty Healy 'romance'	>
King Charles wants Prince George, Princess Charlotte and Prince Louis to 'grow up as normal as possible' to avoid making 'the same mistakes he made'	
▶ Leonardo DiCaprio arrives for photocall after wowing Cannes with 'best performance of his career' in Martin Scorsese's Killers of the Flower Moon	
EXCLUSIVE Millie Mackintosh shows off her figure in a brown bikini she puckers up for a kiss with Hugo Taylor while holidaying in Marbella	
Now THAT's a first dance! Sweet moment Riverdance alumni reunite to perform at the wedding of two castmates (and they're the 70th couple who have found love thr	
▶ West Ham fan 'Knollsy' poses for selfies with fans and is given a standing ovation as he sports a massive black eye after he went viral for single-handedly figh	

The best passports to own in 2023 - where is

Animal Rising strike again as they rush onto racecourse in bid to delay Scottish Grand National | Daily Mail Online

Top 🖊

Home +9



YOURS on the list of nations?



A protester is held down by a police officer during the Scottish Grand National today



Police attempting to apprehend a Animal Rising protestor at Ayr Racecourse earlier today

As Martha Stewart stuns on the cover of Sports Illustrated Swimsuit AGED 81, FEMAIL reveals the other older women who have broken boundaries by posing for magazine

• 'We get to plan it all again': Former Strictly Come Dancing star reveals he has postponed his wedding day after death of family member

Moment eco activists are dragged out of Rome's iconic Trevi Fountain by police after they turned water black with charcoal dye in fossil fuels protest

ADVERTISEMENT



Get all the latest Royal news at our brilliant new destination



Berry nice! Kitchen expert's top tip for keeping strawberries fresh for WEEKS would you try it?

Ten in hospital as double decker bus loses roof after hitting railway bridge in Glasgow



Protesters on the racecourse before the start of the Scottish Grand National today as police apprehend them

TRENDING



Jeffrey Epstein 'threatened to expose Bill Gates' 2010 affair'

21.6k viewing now



Classic FM hits lowest ever audience figures losing 426,000 listeners 2.2k viewing now



Eco-warriors dragged from Rome fountain after dying water black

1.5k viewing now

A Police Scotland spokesperson said: 'Officers are responding to a protest which is ongoing at Ayr Racecourse this afternoon.

'A significant operation is underway to safely remove those involved.

'A number of arrests have been made and an increased police presence remains at the scene.'

On social media at just before 4pm, Animal Rising said: '25 supporters of Animal Rising have made it onto the track at the Scottish Grand National, to put their bodies in the way of harm, and protect animals.'

The post added: 'They're attempting to attach themselves to jumps and gates in order to cancel the big race.

'This afternoon 8 year old Oscar Elite died on the very same track. We take action today with them in our hearts.

'A horse dies every other day in racing in the UK. We are here to protect horses by saying NO to this barbaric 'sport.'

'There are plenty of other things we can have fun doing on a Saturday afternoon that don't involve watching animals come to harm.

'Last week at Aintree was just the beginning. The Summer of Animal Rising is upon us.'

Despite the protest, the race went ahead as planned, with horse Kitty's late taking the victory.

My kind and gentle boy killed himself while home from university for the summer - my last words to him will haunt me forever	
• Woman is left 'shaken' after finding 'threatening' handwritten note left on car accusing her of parking outside a house 'for more than a couple of hours'	
First lady of fashion! Carla Bruni, 55, shows off her enviable figure in a royal blue one- shoulder dress during the Firebrand screening at Cannes Film Festival	
Change your tune! Rocking aristocrat the Duke of Beaufort upsets neighbours with plans for 'Glastonbury in the Cotswolds' concerts on his sprawling estate	
Al brings 100-year-old footage of New Yorkers strolling down Fifth Avenue on Easter Sunday to life	
Downcast Phillip Schofield sits in car with his mother after fleeing 300miles from London to Cornwall following his brutal axe from This Morning	
Thought your blind dates were bad? People share their most disastrous encounters in the search for love	
Grandmother, 62, who was given three months to live is now cancer free nearly two decades after she was first diagnosed	
ADVERTISEMENT	

Animal Rising strike again as they rush onto racecourse in bid to delay Scottish Grand National | Daily Mail Online



Activists attempted to disrupt the Grand National at Aintree by getting onto the racecourse and fixing themselves to fences and railings, causing the race to be delayed by 15 minutes



A protester being detained as activists tried to disrupt the Grand National by getting into the racecourse and fixing themselves to fences and railings, causing the race to be delayed by 15 minutes on April 15

MORE TRENDING



Ten in hospital as double decker bus loses roof in Glasgow

2.8k viewing now



killed himself while home from uni 2.4k viewing now



Woman is left 'shaken' after finding 'threatening' note left on car

1.9k viewing now

This comes after the **Grand National at Aintree was delayed** after Animal Rising protesters stormed the racetrack.

At least two protesters fixed themselves to a jump using glue and lock-on devices.

Animal Rising supporters have also been spotted at a climate protest outside Parliament today.



Animal Rising strike again as they rush onto racecourse in bid to delay Scottish Grand National | Daily Mail Online

F Share Ў 🔗 🖂



the environmental failures' of government.

Animal Rising flags were pictured amongst activists carrying XR flags and posters.



Animal Rising supporters have also been spotted at a climate protest outside Parliament today



Thousands of protesters have gathered for their 'The Big One' campaign, organised by Extinction Rebellion (XR), as part of a four-day campaign designed to 'highlight the environmental failures' of government

XR says thousands of people protested outside government departments in London on Friday 'to highlight the environmental and social failures' of the government.

Today's protest focused on nature and biodiversity, and started from Westminster Abbey with attendees, many of them children, wearing animal costumes and masks.

'It's an emergency. Everybody needs to pull together so the future generations can enjoy our beautiful planet,' said 47-year-old Jenny O'Hara Jakeway, who made the sixhour journey from Wales with her two children.

'I should protest more but my life is work and family. Being passive is not an option anymore because of the urgency of the situation,' she told AFP.

Many had made banners for the occasion, with one reading: 'We defend the climate but police arrest us' and another 'Extinction is forever'. Others warned that a third of



Michelle Yeoh exudes glamour in a sleek green gown and coordinating cape as she attends the star-studded Firebrand premiere at Cannes Film Festival

wrong! From Nutella to Bolognese sauce here's how they should

ACTUALLY sound

ADVERTISEMENT



HailOnline

Gigi Hadid wows in a figure hugging strapless gown as she attends the Firebrand premiere during the 76th Cannes Film Festival Hard to miss

EXCLUSIVE: Sag reality: Experts warn Ozempic is causing wave of plastic Animal Rising strike again as they rush onto racecourse in bid to delay Scottish Grand National | Daily Mail Online

Recommended by Outbrain

Тор 🔨

Home +99



Share or comment on this article: Animal Rising strike again as they rush onto racecourse in bid to delay Scottish Grand National





[Gallery] Photos Show How Kate Middleton Was Like Before Her Royal Days HeraldWeekly | Sponsored



If You Have Any Of These 40 Vinyl Records, You Can Retire It's time to check the attic, because these rare albums could be worth thousands https://www.scientistplus.com | Sponsored



[Gallery] The Most Inappropriate Dress on The Met Gala Red Carpet HeraldWeekly | Sponsored



This Simple Tip Reduces Joint Pain and Arthritis (Try It Now) The Joint Helper | Sponsored



[Gallery] 27 Signs That Will Make You Laugh So Hard HeraldWeekly | Sponsored



UK Homeowners Are Eligible For Free £1500 Loft Insulation Voucher

Save Money Market | Sponsored





Your ULTIMATE guide to dating apps: Relationship expert reveals exactly which romantic site works best for EVERY kind of person - whether you're looking for lat...

surgeries to fix loose skin - after sudden weight-loss

• 'Traumatised' father pulls his two-year-old daughter from nursery after finding her covered in blood: Family file complaint after little Delilah had to have a c...

Video shows man brutally beaten by a mob of bicyclists in downtown Los Angeles

▶ LIVE BLOG: Phillip Schofield quits This Morning live: Holly Willoughby's 'him or me ultimatum', his resignation statement, and how pair have held 'peace talks'

Alexa Chung is the epitome of class in black ruched gown as she makes a glamorous appearance at the 76th Cannes Film Festival premiere of Firebrand

Inside Jamie Laing and Sophie Habboo's wild wedding reception: Bride and groom party the night away with famous pals after ceremony in Marbella

EXCLUSIVE Tim Allen takes ANOTHER swipe at 'confusing' box-office bomb Lightyear which saw Chris Evans take over star's iconic role

▶ 'I need some adult time': Helen Flanagan speaks out on adjusting to life as a single mother of three following her split from fiancé Scott Sinclair >

Instagram

Q Search



1



More posts from animal.rising





Meta About Blog Jobs Help API Privacy Terms Top accounts Locations Instagram Lite Contact uploading and non-users. Meta Verified

English (UK) 🗸 🕲 2023 Instagram from Meta

Instagram

Q Search





More posts from animal.rising









Meta About Blog Jobs Help API Privacy Terms Top accounts Locations Instagram Lite Contact uploading and non-users Meta Verified

English (UK) 🗸 💿 2023 Instagram from Meta

Animal rights protesters delay racing at Doncaster after getting onto track - Mirror Online



THE Server Soutiers' G na': W loside this



Security staff remove protesters from the track at Doncaster

NEWS	POLITICS	FOOTBALL
CELEBS	тν	ROYALS

Animal rights protesters delay racing at Doncaster after getting onto track

The 7.55pm race at Doncaster was held up while security staff removed three protesters who had sat down in the middle of the racecourse



Animal rights activists disrupted racing at Doncaster on Saturday after a small group of people got onto the track to attempt a sit-down protest.

The 7.55 race was delayed when three people were spotted sat down in the middle of the course at the Yorkshire venue.



ଡ଼ি PRIVACY

Animal rights protesters delay racing at Doncaster after getting onto track - Mirror Online



Get email updates with the day's biggest stories

Enter your email...

Sign up

The intruders, dressed in pink T-shirts similar to those worn by protesters at the Grand National, appeared to be bound together.

They were approached by Doncaster's security team who dragged them off the course and under the rail, while another person filmed the incident on a mobile phone.

The race subsequently went ahead without further incident, nine minutes after the official start time.







Kentucky Derby favourite withdrawn hours before race after four deaths in week

The meeting was being covered live by Sky Sports Racing when the incident occurred.

Presenter Tony Ennis said: "We can see the protesters, there are three of them. I'm not sure exactly how they got there. That's the top turn down the side of the course.

"I can see some of the racecourse staff are out there. I can see them in those easy to spot pink t-shirts. It looks like it's Animal Rising again."



Sponsored Links by Ta

He continued: "They seem to have their hands tied. There is a big bolt cutter there. The security st heading over and they are going to lift them up and try and get them off the track.

https://www.mirror.co.uk/sport/horse-racing/animal-rights-protest-doncaster-races-29914141



Animal rights protesters delay racing at Doncaster after getting onto track - Mirror Online



snop matched

to tesco.com

SHOP NOW

Restrictions app

Get email updates with the day's biggest stories



Animal Rights protestors caused havoc at the Grand National last month (🗖 Image: AFP via Getty Images)





 \otimes

Next Stay

press3095 👽 · May 6 · 3 min read

Coronation Royal Race Evening Disrupted At Doncaster: Animal Rising Occupy Track



- At around 19:45, 5 Animal Rising supporters ran onto the Doncaster Racecourse track. They could be seen walking down the track holding a large banner reading "Animal Rising, For All Life."
- The individuals involved could be seen wearing pink t-shirts with the words "Animal Rising, For All Life" on them. The group claimed the action was to protect horses at the racecourse and also continue a national conversation on our broken relationship with other animals and nature that began with The Grand National.
- This comes weeks after the same group disrupted the Grand National and Scottish Grand National, and recently announced their plans to disrupt the Epsom Derby [1].
- Earlier today, 14 unprovoked arrests were made at an Animal Rising nonviolence training in London despite the group making multiple public statements it had no plans to disrupt the coronation proceedings in Westminster [2]

86

1/3

Coronation Royal Race Evening Disrupted At Doncaster: Animal Rising Occupy Track

Supporters of Animal Rising have disrupted the evening races at Doncaster Racecourse in a bid to stop the day's final race. The group climbed over fences around the track before entering the course itself. This is the third time the group has disrupted horse racing this year and the first time at a flat racing event.



Joshua Lane, 25, and Engineer from Derby and one of those on the track said:

"The need to address our heartbreaking disconnect from other animals and nature has never been more urgent. Horse racing is a clear example of how far we are from our ideals as a nation of animal lovers. How can it be right to force these beautiful horses into doing something purely for our use and entertainment? They deserve lives free from exploitation, just as all animals do - whether that's for entertainment or food.

X /

We know this change can't happen overnight, but we can begin right now. That means stopping breeding horses for the racing industry and directing funds towards the happy retirement of the horses currently used. Combining this with a safe, secure food system and mass rewilding would create a British countryside we can all take pride in."

Whilst flat racing results in fewer track deaths for horses, Animal Rising states that this argument avoids the bigger issue - the use of animals for human entertainment. Furthermore, in flat racing horses are still subjected to the use of the "bit" to control their behaviour. This has been linked to impaired breathing and bone spurs, whilst also causing immense pain [3]. The use of whips is also still permitted, despite evidence from a decade-long study showing that they cause an immense amount of pain to the animals whilst having a little-to-no impact on race safety [4]. This year already three horses have died at Doncaster Racecourse [5].

Animal Rising is a social movement to create a new relationship with all beings and give us a chance for a safe ecological future. The group primarily calls for the transition to a secure and sustainable plant-based food system, alongside a mass rewilding programme.

ENDS.

Word Count: 506

High Quality Pictures and Videos: https://show.pics.io/animal-rebellion-breaking-news-

All images and videos in this file, on our social media, and website can be used with credit to Animal Rising

under 'fair use' for the purposes of reporting.

For more information or further comments, please contact:

Nathan (Press Back Office): 07466114387

press@animalrising.org

Notes to Editors:

[1] https://www.animalrising.org/post/grand-national-disrupted-animal-rising-occupy-track and

https://www.animalrising.org/post/scottish-grand-national-disrupted-by-animal-rising and

https://www.animalrising.org/post/epsom-is-next-animal-rising-declares-intention-to-disrupt-the-derby-festival

- [2] https://www.animalrising.org/post/arrests-made-as-government-crack-down-on-all-protest-and-dissent
- [3] https://horsesport.com/magazine/training/are-bits-bronze-age-technology/

[4] <u>https://www.sydney.edu.au/news-opinion/news/2020/11/12/first-conclusive-evidence-horses-hurt-by-whips--</u> whips-don-t-

aid-.html#:~:text=Yet%20in%20two%20studies%20released,to%20whip%20these%20animals%20at [5] https://www.horsedeathwatch.com/#c=48&j=&g=&sd=&ed=&p=1

3/3



KE ACTION FOR ALL LIFE: DISRUPT THE EPSOM DERBY

JOIN US ON THE TRACKS

How we achieve change

We follow in the footsteps of other nonviolent social movements who have brought about lasting change, such as the Civil Rights Movement. We are a lovebased movement, and we believe compassion, kindness, commitment and taking responsibility are the values that will bring about a safer, fairer world.

We focus on creating "actions of the heart" balanced with campaigns that achieve high levels of media coverage. This is to create a crucial national conversation about our treatment of animals and the natural world.

We have three streams of nonviolent protest actions which will continue throughout the summer.

REWILD

Open animal rescues and farm occupations. Spotlighting the stories of animals suffering through exploitation. Open rescuers hand themselves into the police and take accountability for their actions, because we know we have nothing to hide and are morally justified in preventing further harm.

BASED

FUTURE

Mass trespass onto animal racing events. As a nation of animal lovers it is about time we put these cruel, outdated practices under the spotlight and bring them to an end. There are more fun ways to spend a weekend than watching animals die, and as a society we will find ways to love animals without harming them. Animal Rising | How we achieve change







Why should I get involved?

The Challenge

We love animals. But as a society we've lost our connection with them, as we continue to breed and kill billions of other beings each year for 'food' or 'fun'.

This cruel suffering is at the heart of our climate and ecological emergencies. Animal farming and fishing threatens our collective future, destroying our land, our forests, and our oceans. The exploitation of animals is directly responsible for global heating, extreme weather events, food insecurity, and the mass loss of wildlife and nature.

Unless we find a way to <mark>repair this broken relationship,</mark> we cannot fix either the climate or nature emergencies.

The Solution

If we act now, we can have a liveable future not just for us but for all life.

https://www.animalrising.org



2/4

 VANIMAL
 GET INVOLVED
 ABOUT US
 PRESS
 DONATE

sater, sustainable tood system.



The science is clear: more than 70% of farmland can be freed up and rewilded to allow nature to recover, whilst still providing enough nutritious food for our growing population. It makes our rivers and seas cleaner, our air easier to breathe. Wild animals, bees and butterflies will return and flourish as animal farming and fishing comes to an end.

Act on what your heart is telling you. Together we can heal our relationship with other beings and create a safer, better future for all

Your Donation Counts!

We're asking people to donate 1 hour's wage every month to support our work for animals, allowing us to rescue more animals, take high profile actions, and create a national conversation in the media.

DONATE NOW



Join our mailing list!

Help us create a kinder world for all life.

Keep up to date with the latest news, updates and events from Animal Rising

Email

First Name



3/4



Company Registration No. 02909409

Jockey Club Racecourses Limited

Report and Financial Statements

31 December 2021



Report and financial statements 2021

Contents	Page
Corporate information	1
Strategic report	2
Directors' report	8
Statement of directors' responsibilities	10
Independent auditor's report	11
Income statement and statement of comprehensive income	14
Statement of financial position	15
Statement of changes in equity	16
Notes to the financial statements	17 to 37

Corporate information

Directors

. `

.....

S Clark I Renton A Starkey N Truesdale

Auditor

BDO LLP 55 Baker Street London W1U 7EU

Bankers

HSBC 129 New Bond Street London W1S 1EA

Solicitors

Pinsent Masons LLP 30 Crown Place Earl Street London EC2A 4ES

Registered Office

75 High Holborn London WC1V 6LS

Strategic report

The directors present their strategic report and audited financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2021.

Business review and principal activities

The principal activity of the company is to manage and operate thirteen owned racecourses and two leased racecourses. There have not been any significant changes in the company's principal activities in the year under review. The directors are not aware, at the date of this report, of any likely major changes in the company's activities in the next year.

As shown in the company's income statement on page 14, the company's turnover has increased by 23.4% over the prior year. The increase in turnover is primarily due to racing continuing to be staged throughout the year compared to 2020 when racing was cancelled between 18 March 2020 and 31 May 2020. Racing was held behind closed doors up until 17 May 2021 with spectators returning to racecourses from this date in line with the Government's easing of COVID-19 lockdown restrictions. Media incomes were 57.8% ahead of the prior year, principally driven by racing continuing throughout the year, albeit behind closed doors for a period as mentioned above. Betting shops were temporarily closed until 12 April 2021, again due to government restrictions which required non-essential retail shops to close. The increased activity in the year resulted in a 36.2% increase in cost of sales, which includes a prize money contribution increase of £7.8m. Administrative expenses were 6.8% higher than the prior year. Included in administrative expenses is the full cost of employee remuneration. Employee costs increased in line the increased level of activity as capacity restrictions were lifted during the year. Cost savings also included the impact of the business rates holiday which saved £4.2m compared to a normal year. Due to the restrictions on spectator capacity and significantly reduced conference and events we placed a number of employees on furlough during the first half of the year. The corresponding £0.4m (2020: £4.1m) of furlough grants are shown in Other Operating Income (note 9). Abandoned and curtailed fixtures covered by insurance during the year resulted in £25.3m (2020: £16.4m) worth of claims which is also presented in Other Operating Income.

In relation to the company's statement of financial position, the directors draw the reader's attention to note 20 to the financial statements concerning the background to the Grant Account. The Grant Account represents capital grants received from the Horserace Betting Levy Board to which the company has an absolute entitlement. In the event therefore that Shareholders' Deficit was restated to include the full extent of this entitlement, Shareholders' Funds would total £92.4m (2020: £71.1m).

Key performance indicators

The key performance indicators for the company are attendances, revenue (above), operating profit margin and net debt (below). Aggregate attendances across the racecourses increased from 398,000 to 646,000 largely due to the lifting of capacity restrictions during the year. Operating profit margin decreased to 15.3% (2020: 19.0%) with the movement reflecting the impacts noted above. There were 15 abandoned fixtures in 2021 (2020: 112).

Principal risks and uncertainties

The company is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties that are continuously considered by the directors.

The impact of COVID-19 continues to be a risk to revenue with the company no longer able to obtain insurance cover for abandonment or curtailment of racedays due to human related pandemics. However, the company maintains adequate cash balances, resources and credit facilities to mitigate the reasonably foreseeable potential financial impacts of this risk and to manage the company's cash position.

Our financial planning indicates that the company will continue to operate as a going concern. However, we continue to monitor the impacts and we will set our investment plans accordingly.

Notwithstanding the impacts of COVID-19, which are addressed separately, competition within the UK leisure market is a continuing risk for the company and racing sector, which could see it losing leisure market share. The company manages this by continuing to invest in quality racing as well as re-investing in its facilities to encourage both race day and non-race day customers as well as owners, trainers & jockeys who are so vital to our sport. The company will also continue to evolve, having also changed its structure and operating culture over the past few years and this has equipped it to meet the challenges of what is a very competitive leisure market place.

Strategic report (continued)

Principal risks and uncertainties (continued)

The general performance of the UK economy and continued uncertainty following the COVID-19 pandemic may affect attendances and the levels of customer spend on racedays and conference and event income even after the spectator restrictions have been lifted. To mitigate this we continue to review rigorously the cost base of the business and assess growth initiatives such as expanding the scope and reach of our brand to grow new revenue streams, investment in a number of marketing-led initiatives such as new membership products, and investment in new customer-focused technology such as our new website and app. This also includes further investment to maximise value from our major festivals and we continue to maintain momentum around improvements to the quality of customer experience.

With regards to credit risk the company's principal financial assets are bank balances and cash, trade and other receivables and investments. The company's credit risk is primarily attributable to its trade receivables. The amounts presented in the statement of financial position are net of allowances for doubtful receivables. An allowance for impairment is made where there is an identified loss event which, based on previous experience, is evidence of a reduction in the recoverability of cash flows. The credit risk on liquid funds is limited because the counterparties are banks with high credit-ratings assigned by international credit-rating agencies and offset by loans from the same financial institutions. The company has no significant concentration of credit risk, with exposure spread over a large number of counterparties and customers.

The company is continuing its policy of generating free cash flows to fund reinvestment back into racing and its racecourses.

External net debt has decreased during the year from £79.3m to £50.9m. As at the year end, the company held cash of $\pounds 20.0m$ (2020: $\pounds 16.9m$), drawn bank facilities of $\pounds 70.0m$ (2020: $\pounds 95.0m$) and finance leases of $\pounds 0.9m$ (2020: $\pounds 1.2m$). The company has loaned $\pounds 6.3m$ (2020: $\pounds 6.3m$) to Epsom Racecourse Hotel Company Limited. The company has an intercompany loan from The Jockey Club Racecourse Bond Company Plc of $\pounds 3.5m$ (2020: $\pounds 8.9m$). During the year, the company repaid $\pounds 5.4m$ (2020: $\pounds 0.7m$) of the intercompany loan as part of the ongoing strategy to reduce gross debt.

The company signed a revised banking facility in December 2018. The total facility is £92m (2020: £95m) and includes a £50m term loan and a £42m (2020: £45m) revolving credit facility. The facility is for a 12 year term with repayments commencing at the end of 2021. During the year the company obtained a £20m Coronavirus Large Business Interruption Loan Scheme (CLBILS) facility from its existing banking syndicate which was fully drawn down at 31 December 2021 (2020: £nil). The company has entered into interest rate swap arrangements in order to limit the exposure to interest rate fluctuations. These swaps are matched with the period of the facility on an amortising basis.

One external risk factor that we are monitoring and working hard to mitigate is the UK regulatory environment for gambling. The UK Government is undertaking a 'major and wide-ranging' review of UK gambling laws, to update the 2005 Gambling Act. Online restrictions on amounts which can be staked, marketing collateral, sponsorships, advertising and promotional offers and extra protections for young adults may be examined by the Department for Digital, Culture, Media and Sport.

Among the potential reforms are stringent affordability checks for bettors. Analysts at Regulus Partners consider such action could result in an "immediate" reduction of levy income for British Racing, with a further impact on media rights income. British racing's finances could also be negatively impacted should the streaming of sports events by bookmakers be curbed, while any ban on sponsorship and advertising by gambling companies could jeopardise mainstream television coverage. The Jockey Club supports the objective of the Gambling Act Review seeking to reduce gambling-related harm and ensure relevant legislation is fit for the digital age. However, we are also asking the Government to ensure that any reforms are evidence-based and targeted at those most at risk, while resulting impacts on British Racing are fully considered and mitigated.

Results, dividends and transfers from reserves

The results of the year are set out in the income statement on page 14. The company's Articles of Association forbid the payment of dividends.

Future developments

In line with our mission to act for the long-term benefit of British Horseracing, we are supporting an industry stakeholder review of the current governance structure of the sport and specifically the role of the governing body and regulator, the British Horseracing Authority.

Strategic report (continued)

Future developments (continued)

We have also undertaken a strategic review of our own business as part of a process to inform our growth plans and investment choices over the coming years. This has involved in-depth analysis of our commercial drivers and the issues and choices we face both as a business and across the wider industry to ensure we continue to invest in the areas of highest return. Diversifying our revenue streams in order to de-risk our business is a key focus, along with maximising the utilisation of our venues, increasing engagement amongst existing racing fans and attracting new audiences to horseracing.

Section 172 statement

Jockey Club Racecourses Limited operates in support of the overarching mandate of The Jockey Club, its ultimate parent undertaking. The Jockey Club operates under Royal Charter with a mandate to consider and promote the wider interests of the sport of horseracing and a number of adjacent activities such as thoroughbred breeding. This Royal Charter was renewed and updated in 2017 to reflect the Club's status and role in the sport, as well as the critical responsibilities that it now fulfils as the sport's largest commercial operator. This follows the transfer of regulatory and governance powers to the British Horseracing Authority in the early 2000s.

The success of Jockey Club Racecourses Ltd, and therefore the extent to which the board of directors (referred to hereafter as 'the Board') have discharged their duties to Jockey Club Racecourse Ltd is measured against the above mandate.

It is only with a successful commercial operating model that the company can continue to function effectively and all stakeholder interests are considered in making key decisions around this.

Section 172 of the Companies Act 2006 requires directors, to take into consideration the interests of stakeholders and other matters in their decision making. The Board has regard to the interests of the company's employees, customers, suppliers and other stakeholders, the impact of its activities on the community, the environment and the company's reputation for good business conduct. In this context, acting in good faith and fairly, the Board considers what is most likely to promote the success of the Jockey Club for its members and in accordance with its Charter, in the long term. We explain in this annual report, and below, how the Board engage with stakeholders.

- Relations with key stakeholders such as employees, shareholders and suppliers are considered in more detail below.
- The Board is fully aware of its responsibilities to promote the success of the company in accordance with section 172 of the Companies Act 2006.
- The nature of the Jockey Club's Royal Charter, and the way that the Board has discharged their duties in this regard, is considered to be consistent with the underlying objectives of Section 172: to operate in line with good corporate practice. More formally, Section 172 is now to be included as a specific consideration when making key decisions at board meetings. Legal Counsel provide support to the Board to help ensure that sufficient consideration is given to issues relating to the matters set out in s172(1)(a)-(f).
- The Board regularly reviews the company's principal stakeholders and how it engages with them. This is achieved through information provided by the Stewards of The Jockey Club and also, within the Racing industry, by direct engagement with stakeholders themselves.
- We aim to work responsibly with our stakeholders, including suppliers. The Board continues to have a diligent adoption policy for statutory measures which most recently have included anti-corruption and anti-bribery, equal opportunities and whistleblowing policies, the Corporate Criminal Offences Act and IR35.

Strategic report (continued)

Approach to engagement with stakeholders

- Jockey Club Racecourses Limited is able to take a long term view and this approach is reflected also in the engagement with the various stakeholders expected to be impacted by the Board's decisions. As part of this, the Board maintains an ethos of being held to the highest possible standards of corporate conduct.
- The Board is in regular communication with all key racing stakeholders (e.g. RCA, BHA, The Horsemen's Group) to gauge potential views and reactions to important decisions made that impact across the industry. The company also engages with a range of stakeholders, including, but not limited to, employees, sponsors, residents in areas where our racecourses operate, suppliers, media and commercial partners.

Approach to engagement with stakeholders (continued)

- The Board engages with all of the above stakeholders either directly or through the company's various management teams, at formal industry and other events, on racedays at our racecourses and elsewhere and through various industry forums.
- There are Employee Days, Town Hall' sessions and team meetings across the company which inform, celebrate success and allow employees to voice any suggestions or challenges they may have. In addition, we have entered into a partnership with Culture Amp, the market leading Engagement Platform, enabling us to regularly measure our colleague engagement and take action on the results. We have also set up a Business Involvement Group, with representatives from all areas of the business, focusing on two way communication and collaboration. The Board and management also engage regularly with suppliers, media partners and sponsors, as well as taking feedback from customers. In addition, the Board and management foster strong relationships across all our locations with both Local Authorities, including individual councillors, and the local community in general via trade bodies, community groups and other relevant forums. We do this with a passionate commitment to ensure that racing is truly a sport for everyone.

Key Board Decisions

During the year, the Board made a number of key decisions which are considered to be in the interests of the overall success of the company and the wider sport. These decisions have impacts on certain stakeholder groups that have, to the extent considered appropriate by the Board, been reflected in the decision making process.

Coronavirus Large Business Interruption Loan Scheme (CLBILS) facility

To help mitigate the uncertainty of our short to medium term cash flows which were impacted by the COVID-19 global pandemic, in May 2021, the company obtained a £20m Coronavirus Large Business Interruption Loan Scheme (CLBILS) facility from its existing banking syndicate. This facility is available until 28 May 2024 at which point it will need to be fully repaid. Although our base-case forecast net debt levels indicated that this facility was not required and it put an additional interest cost onto the business, it was decided that it was the prudent action to take in order to mitigate the cash flow risks resulting from the significant uncertainty created by the potential impact of the pandemic on our ability to stage horseracing and other events.

Media rights contract extension

In June 2021, the company extended its contract to licence its media rights to Racecourse Media Group (RMG) until 2028. RMG is owned by The Jockey Club and 20 other racecourses. This enables us to benefit from the scale yielded by the aggregation of our rights without a 3rd party broadcaster taking a margin, and therefore 100% of the profits generated by RMG goes directly to the racecourses. RMG has delivered consistently strong growth in media rights income over a sustained period, taking advantage of and adapting to ongoing changes in the marketplace since its inception in 2004. The long-term nature of the contract reflects the requirement for RMG to have long-term stability to be able to negotiate with key betting customers and terrestrial broadcasters for significant multi-year contracts, and to give the RMG business longer term certainty for key production, hiring and other long term investment decisions.

Strategic report (continued)

Key Board Decisions (continued)

JCC contract extension

In March 2021, the company extended the contact with Compass plc to provide catering operations at all of our racecourses for an additional 3 years to June 2029. The company has been working with Compass since 2009 over which time we have achieved significant improvements in both the quality and consistency of our food offering and customer service, and the income generated from our raceday and conference and events catering operations.

Hospitality and catering is a significant income stream and the quality and robustness of delivery is a key differentiator between the company and other similar racecourse operators, therefore given the success of the contract with Compass to date, it was appropriate to lock in a long-term contract to give certainty to this key area of the business and to unlock investment into our facilities that will help drive future growth in this area.

Lifting of Capacity Restrictions

Since capacity restrictions began easing in May 2021, the company has been working proactively to ensure that we have been able to welcome attendees back at our events in a safe and COVID-19 secure environment in accordance with Government and local authority guidelines. From 17 May 2021, crowds of up to 4,000 (capped at 50% of capacity) were allowed to return to venues followed by the removal of most legal limits on social contact and crowd restrictions from 19 July 2021. The business had to make a number of operational decisions to ensure we were able to respond to changes to Government guidelines, including COVID-19 pass checking, and the timeline for lifting restrictions as quickly as possible.

While all of these decisions were made to help to protect the long-term financial position of the company and the longterm interests of the sport in general, some have had a short term negative financial impact. Increased operational costs were incurred to ensure that we were able to open our facilities, for both racing and other events, to customers and participants as quickly, efficiently and as safely as possible.

We acknowledged that on-course bookmakers have suffered significant financial hardship during the pandemic and we recognise they provide a valuable service to our customers. In order to support them in a small way, we decided to allow free access to on-course bookmakers for all racedays in 2020 and resumed fees at a reduced rate from 17 May 2021 when Government restrictions were eased.

Prize Money Executive Contribution

The level of Prize Money contribution we make into our race programme is one of the most material decisions that the Board takes in any year. This impacts on the competitiveness of our business in attracting the best runners at each level of racing to our racecourses, and provides direct and indirect financial support to owners, trainers, jockeys, horsemen and their own employees. We aim to strike a balance between ensuring our leading races and festivals maintain their global status and competitiveness in horse racing, while ensuring that we are supporting all levels of the ownership and breeding industry at both small and large racecourses.

Our decision on Prize Money contribution is traded off against other competing priorities for the company, such as investments into property infrastructure at our racecourses, which are required to maintain the highest level of sporting and customer experience and safety for racing participants and spectators alike.

In 2021, our Prize Money decisions were made in the context of the Covid-19 pandemic and the significant impact that it has had on our key income streams. Therefore, rather than setting a Prize Money budget at the start of the year we had to take a rolling approach and commit to affordable levels of prize money as the underlying extent of the impact and duration of the pandemic. Despite the impacts of Covid-19, we were able to offer total prize money at levels at least as high as 2019 for all of our fixtures in the last quarter of 2021.

Strategic report (continued)

Approval of 2022 Budget and Five Year Plan

In approving the Annual Company Budget and Five Year Plan, the Board (and the Finance Review Committee) carried out a detailed review of the various commercial drivers and sensitivities in the business, including forecast admissions and hospitality performance and developments in the betting industry which have had negative impacts on the business.

The Board also considered continued investment in our employees, awarding a business-wide wage increase and in signing off specific budgets for training, employee medical and other benefits and a Diversity & Inclusion programme.

The interests of racing stakeholders were also inherent in agreed investment in prize money (above) and other racecourse facilities.

The above considerations were given in the context of ensuring ongoing bank covenant compliance, commitments to the company's Defined Benefit Pension Scheme, investment in customer experience, continued capital expenditure.

The impact of the Covid-19 pandemic continues to be a consideration both in the formulation of the initial budget but in the continuing review of the evolving situation and its potential impacts on our cash flows.

Non Treshoe

N Truesdale Director 20 April 2022

Directors' report

The directors present their annual report and the audited financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2021.

Directors

The directors during the year and since the year end were:

S Clark I Renton A Starkey N Truesdale

None of the directors had any interests in the share capital of the company or of any other group company during the year.

The following information is not shown in the directors' report as it has been included in the strategic report under s414C(11):

- Financial risk management, objectives and policies
- Exposure to risks
- Future developments
- Post balance sheet events

Environment

The company recognises the importance of its environmental responsibilities and takes corporate social responsibilities seriously. The company is mindful of the need to conserve all forms of energy in order to minimise the impact upon the environment. As well as moving towards more efficient uses of energy, the company will strive to source energy from renewable sources.

The Streamlined Energy and Carbon Reporting disclosures can be found in the group financial statements for Jockey Club Racecourses (Holdings) Limited.

Employee engagement

The Board considers it very important that colleagues are kept informed about both the financial performance of the Group, factors impacting the wider industry and more general employment related matters. Every business day an email is sent to all colleagues summarising the instances where companies within the Group have been mentioned in the media along with other important news events in both horseracing and the wider sport industry. Regular communications are sent to all colleagues updating them on new Group policies such as safeguarding or CCO compliance. There is also a regular 'five in five' communication sent to all colleagues covering noteworthy events, colleague achievements, and calls to action.

Colleague feedback is actively sought by management. The Board has sponsored the creation of a Business Involvement Group which has representatives from all areas of the group. The BIG Chair and/or Deputy Chair will attend the Executive Board meeting every quarter to update on actions and initiatives. The focus of the BIG is to improve two way communication throughout the group. Colleagues are routinely consulted regarding changes in their working environment and organisational changes. Consultations can be on a one to one basis, in a group and/or a combination of both (including via the BIG). We have also invested in a partnership with Culture Amp, the market leading Colleague Experience platform, enabling us to measure and take action on our colleagues' engagement and feedback.

There are a number of regular communications meetings. We regularly hold virtual all colleague 'Town Hall' meetings to share and update on group activities. Group-wide Colleague Days are held every 18 months along with more regular senior management forums and regular regional update meetings. In addition, specialist functional areas meet on a regular basis such as the bi-annual Clerks of the Course meetings, the annual Head Groundpersons' meeting, bi-annual marketing conferences, General Managers' meetings and quarterly Health and Safety meetings.

We encourage the involvement of employees in the Group's performance through a bonus scheme called Sharing in our Success. This is calculated and paid annually based on the financial performance of the Group compared to its

Directors' report (continued)

Employee engagement (continued)

annual budget target. In addition, a number of employees are eligible for a bonus scheme which is which linked to both individual KPIs and the financial performance of the Group. All colleagues have a performance review once a year, with regular check-ins throughout the year. This includes a review of KPIs/objectives which are aligned to the business and the setting of new KPIs/objectives for the next year. We hold a full awards ceremony at the Colleague Day – and are planning to focus on recognition in line with our values in 2022.

Whilst the group wide 'Newmarket Induction' has been disrupted by the pandemic, we plan to refocus on new joiner experience and on-boarding in 2022. We will maintain the Newmarket event, where colleagues attend a dinner, attend a tour of Newmarket racecourse, The National Stud and the Newmarket training grounds, and attend a presentation on The Jockey Club vision along with an overview of the business. Monthly board meetings are held at our locations around the country and Directors and local colleagues have lunch together.

We are inclusive and actively seek to attract people with unique backgrounds and perspectives. Diverse, collaborative teams are pivotal to our success and support the potential and growth of all our people. We are focused on increasing awareness of inclusive management practices across our Board, Members, Committees and teams at every level.

The Allies Programme has developed to become the Networks, and we have added a fifth network 'race' to our existing four (workability; mental health; working families and carers; and pride within) to encourage diversity and inclusion across the business. These all have senior sponsorship at Director level. We are proud to have signed the industry commitment to improve diversity and inclusion across the sport to ensure racing truly is a sport for everyone and to help the sport grow and thrive in the future

Qualifying third party indemnity provisions

The Company has put in place qualifying third party indemnity provisions for all of the directors of the company.

Auditor

Each of the persons who is a director at the date of approval of this report confirms that:

- so far as the director is aware, there is no relevant audit information of which the company's auditor is unaware; and
- the director has taken all the steps that he ought to have taken as a director in order to make himself aware of any relevant audit information and to establish that the company's auditor is aware of that information.

This confirmation is given and should be interpreted in accordance with the provisions of s418 of the Companies Act 2006.

BDO LLP have expressed their willingness to continue in office and a resolution to re-appoint them will be proposed at the annual general meeting.

Approved by the Board of Directors and signed on behalf of the Board

Now Preshoe

N Truesdale Director 20 April 2022

Statement of directors' responsibilities

The directors are responsible for preparing the strategic report, directors' report and the financial statements in accordance with applicable law and regulations.

Company law requires the directors to prepare financial statements for each financial year. Under that law the directors have elected to prepare the financial statements in accordance with United Kingdom Generally Accepted Accounting Practice (United Kingdom Accounting Standards and applicable law). Under company law the directors must not approve the financial statements unless they are satisfied that they give a true and fair view of the state of affairs of the Company and of the profit or loss of the Company for that period. In preparing these financial statements, the directors are required to:

- select suitable accounting policies and then apply them consistently;
- make judgements and accounting estimates that are reasonable and prudent;
- state whether applicable UK Accounting Standards have been followed, subject to any material departures disclosed and explained in the financial statements; and
- prepare the financial statements on the going concern basis unless it is inappropriate to presume that the company will continue in business.

The directors are responsible for keeping adequate accounting records that are sufficient to show and explain the company's transactions and disclose with reasonable accuracy at any time the financial position of the company and to enable them to ensure that the financial statements comply with the Companies Act 2006. They are also responsible for safeguarding the assets of the company and hence for taking reasonable steps for the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities.

The directors are responsible for the maintenance and integrity of the corporate and financial information included on the company's website. Legislation in the United Kingdom governing the preparation and dissemination of financial statements may differ from legislation in other jurisdictions.
Independent auditor's report to the members of Jockey Club Racecourses Limited (continued)

Opinion on the financial statements

In our opinion the financial statements:

- give a true and fair view of the state of the Company's affairs as at 31 December 2021 and of its profit for the year then ended;
- have been properly prepared in accordance with United Kingdom Generally Accepted Accounting Practice; and
- have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Companies Act 2006.

We have audited the financial statements of Jockey Club Racecourses Limited ("the Company") for the year ended 31 December 2021 which comprise the income statement, the statement of financial position, the statement of changes in equity and notes to the financial statements, including a summary of significant accounting policies. The financial reporting framework that has been applied in their preparation is applicable law and United Kingdom Accounting Standards, including Financial Reporting Standard 102 *The Financial Reporting Standard applicable in the UK and Republic of Ireland* (United Kingdom Generally Accepted Accounting Practice).

Basis for opinion

••

We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) (ISAs (UK)) and applicable law. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements section of our report. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Independence

We are independent of the Company in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements in the UK, including the FRC's Ethical Standard, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements.

Conclusions relating to going concern

In auditing the financial statements, we have concluded that the Directors' use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the financial statements is appropriate.

Based on the work we have performed, we have not identified any material uncertainties relating to events or conditions that, individually or collectively, may cast significant doubt on the Company's ability to continue as a going concern for a period of at least twelve months from when the financial statements are authorised for issue.

Our responsibilities and the responsibilities of the Directors with respect to going concern are described in the relevant sections of this report.

Other information

The directors are responsible for the other information. The other information comprises the information included in the Report and Financial Statements, other than the financial statements and our auditor's report thereon. Our opinion on the financial statements does not cover the other information and, except to the extent otherwise explicitly stated in our report, we do not express any form of assurance conclusion thereon. Our responsibility is to read the other information and, in doing so, consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the course of the audit, or otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If we identify such material inconsistencies or apparent material misstatements, we are required to determine whether this gives rise to a material misstatement in the financial statements themselves. If, based on the work we have performed, we conclude that there is a material misstatement of this other information, we are required to report that fact.

We have nothing to report in this regard.

Other Companies Act 2006 reporting

In our opinion, based on the work undertaken in the course of the audit:

Independent auditor's report to the members of Jockey Club Racecourses Limited (continued)

- the information given in the strategic report and the directors' report for the financial year for which the financial statements are prepared is consistent with the financial statements; and
- the strategic report and the directors' report has been prepared in accordance with applicable legal requirements.

In the light of the knowledge and understanding of the Company and its environment obtained in the course of the audit, we have not identified material misstatements in the strategic report and the directors' report.

We have nothing to report in respect of the following matters in relation to which the Companies Act 2006 requires us to report to you if, in our opinion:

- adequate accounting records have not been kept, or returns adequate for our audit have not been received from branches not visited by us; or
- the financial statements are not in agreement with the accounting records and returns; or
- certain disclosures of directors' remuneration specified by law are not made; or
- we have not received all the information and explanations we require for our audit.

Responsibilities of Directors

As explained more fully in the statement of directors' responsibilities, the Directors are responsible for the preparation of the financial statements and for being satisfied that they give a true and fair view, and for such internal control as the Directors determine is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, the Directors are responsible for assessing the Company's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless the Directors either intend to liquidate the Company or to cease operations, or have no realistic alternative but to do so.

Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with ISAs (UK) will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

Extent to which the audit was capable of detecting irregularities, including fraud

Irregularities, including fraud, are instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations. We design procedures in line with our responsibilities, outlined above, to detect material misstatements in respect of irregularities, including fraud. The extent to which our procedures are capable of detecting irregularities, including fraud is detailed below:

- obtaining an understanding of the legal and regulatory frameworks that are applicable to the Company. These include, but are not limited to, compliance with the Companies Act, United Kingdom Generally Accepted Accounting Practice and tax legislation.
- making enquiries of management regarding the Company's policies and procedures relating to:
 - o identifying, evaluating and complying with laws and regulations and whether they were aware of any instances of non-compliance;
 - o detecting and responding to the risks of fraud and whether they have knowledge of any actual, suspected or alleged fraud; and
 - the internal controls established to mitigate risks related to fraud or non-compliance with laws and regulations.
- reviewing minutes of the board of Directors in order to identify any instances of fraud or non-compliance with laws and regulations
- assessing the susceptibility of the financial statements to material misstatement, including how fraud might occur in the financial statements and any potential indicators of fraud. We identified potential for fraud in the following areas and performed the following procedures:

Independent auditor's report to the members of Jockey Club Racecourses Limited (continued)

- o management override of controls: we evaluated management's incentives and opportunities for fraudulent manipulation of the financial statements (including the risk of override of controls), and determined that the principal risks were related to posting inappropriate journal entries to manipulate financial results and management bias in accounting estimates and judgements. Audit procedures performed included:
 - challenging assumptions made by management in their significant accounting estimates in particular in relation to depreciation rates on tangible fixed assets, impairment of tangible fixed assets, provisions, actuarial assumptions and recognition of deferred tax asset.
 - identifying and testing journal entries, in particular any journal entries to revenue not in line with
 expectations and reviewing journal entries for journals inconsistent with the usual transactions of the
 Company.
- revenue recognition: application of cut off and existence. We reviewed transactions pre and post year end to check that the associated revenue is reflected in the correct period. We reviewed manual postings to revenue to ensure that no manipulation had occurred in the general ledger.
- communicating relevant identified laws and regulations and potential fraud risks to all engagement team members
 and remained alert to any indications of fraud or non-compliance with laws and regulations throughout the audit.

Our audit procedures were designed to respond to risks of material misstatement in the financial statements, recognising that the risk of not detecting a material misstatement due to fraud is higher than the risk of not detecting one resulting from error, as fraud may involve deliberate concealment by, for example, forgery, misrepresentations or through collusion. There are inherent limitations in the audit procedures performed and the further removed non-compliance with laws and regulations is from the events and transactions reflected in the financial statements, the less likely we are to become aware of it.

A further description of our responsibilities is available on the Financial Reporting Council's website at: <u>https://www.frc.org.uk/auditorsresponsibilities</u>. This description forms part of our auditor's report.

Use of our report

...

This report is made solely to the Company's members, as a body, in accordance with Chapter 3 of Part 16 of the Companies Act 2006. Our audit work has been undertaken so that we might state to the Company's members those matters we are required to state to them in an auditor's report and for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Company and the Company's members as a body, for our audit work, for this report, or for the opinions we have formed.

— DocuSigned by: Tan Clayden

E25A0E124BD44AA... Ian Clayden (Senior Statutory Auditor) For and on behalf of BDO LLP, statutory auditor London

5 May 2022

BDO LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales (with registered number OC305127).

Jockey Club Racecourses Limited

Income statement Year ended 31 December 2021

	Notes	2021 £m	2020 £m
Turnover	3	137.6	111.5
Cost of sales	· · · ·	(81.0)	(59.5)
Gross profit Other operating income Administrative expenses	9	56.6 26.7 (62.2)	52.0 27.4 (58.2)
Operating profit	10	21.1	21.2
Income from other investments	5	1.2	0.2
Interest receivable and similar income	6	0.3	0.3
Interest payable and similar charges	7	(3.7)	(4.3)
Other finance income/(costs)	8	4.4	(2.4)
Profit on ordinary activities before taxation	· . ·	23.3	15.0
Tax charge on profit on ordinary activities	11	(2.2)	(2.1)
Profit for the financial year transferred to reserves		21.1	12.9

All amounts derive from continuing operations.

Statement of comprehensive income Year ended 31 December 2021

	Notes	2021 £m	2020 £m
Profit for the financial year		21.1	12.9
Actuarial gain/(loss) on defined benefit pension schemes Movement on deferred tax relating to pension liability	18 19	11.0 (2.8)	(12.1) 2.3
Other comprehensive income/(loss) for the year		8.2	(9.8)
Total comprehensive income for the year		29.3	3.1

The notes on pages 17 to 37 form part of these financial statements.

Jockey Club Racecourses Limited

Statement of financial position At 31 December 2021

	Notes	£m	2021 £m	£m	2020 £m
Fixed assets					
Tangible assets	12	180.1		189.1	
Investments	13	2.2		2.2	
			182.3		191.3
Current assets			102.5		191.5
Stocks		-		-	
Debtors	14	67.8		45.4	
Cash at bank and in hand		20.0		16.9	
		87.8		62.3	
Creditors: amounts falling due within one year	15	(85.0)		(53.3)	
Net current assets			2.8		9.0
Total assets less current liabilities			185.1		200.3
Creditors: amounts falling due after more					
than one year	16		(81.6)		(106.6)
Net assets excluding pension liability			103.5		93.7
Pension deficit	18		(11.1)		(22.6)
	10		(11.1)		(22.0)
Net assets			92.4		71.1
Accruals and deferred income Grant account	20		88.3	•	96.3
Grant account	20		00.3		90.5
Capital and reserves					
Called up share capital	21	0.1		0.1	
Profit and loss account		4.0		(25.3)	
Total shareholders' funds/(deficit)			4.1		(25.2)
iotal shareholders Tunus/(uchell)					(23.2)
			92.4		71.1

The financial statements of Jockey Club Racecourses Limited registered number 02909409 were approved and authorised for issue by the Board of Directors on 20 April 2022

Signed on behalf of the Board of Directors

Now Theshoe

N Truesdale

Director

The notes on pages 17 to 37 form part of these financial statements.

Jockey Club Racecourses Limited Statement of changes in equity Year ended 31 December 2021

	Share capital £m	Profit and loss account £m	Total equity £m
At 1 January 2020	0.1	(28.4)	(28.3)
Comprehensive income for the year Profit for the year		12.9	12.9
Actuarial losses Deferred tax on actuarial losses	-	(12.1) 2.3	(12.1) 2.3
Other comprehensive loss for the year	-	(9.8)	(9.8)
Total comprehensive income for the year		3.1	3.1
At 31 December 2020	0.1	(25.3)	(25.2)
Comprehensive income for the year Profit for the year	<u> </u>	21.1	21.1
Actuarial gains Deferred tax on actuarial gains	-	11.0 (2.8)	11.0 (2.8)
Other comprehensive profit for the year	-	8.2	8.2
Total comprehensive income for the year	-	29.3	29.3
At 31 December 2021	0.1	4.0	4.1

The notes on pages 17 to 37 form part of these financial statements.

,• . s.

1. Accounting policies

.

The company is a private company limited by shares and is registered in England and Wales. The address of the company's registered office is shown on page 1. The principal activity of the company is described in the Strategic Report on pages 2 to 7.

The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with FRS 102, the Financial Reporting Standard applicable in the United Kingdom and the Republic of Ireland.

In preparing these financial statements, advantage has been taken of the following disclosure exemptions available in FRS102:

No statement of cash flows has been presented.

This exemption is available because the company is a member of the Jockey Club Racecourses (Holdings) Limited group. The group's consolidated financial statements are publically available at Companies House.

As the company is wholly owned by Jockey Club Racecourses (Holdings) Limited and is included in the consolidated financial statements of this entity, the company has taken advantage of the exemption from preparing consolidated financial statements under s400 of the Companies Act 2006. These financial statements therefore present information on the company alone.

The following principal accounting policies have been applied:

Going concern

The company's business activities, together with the factors likely to affect its future development, performance and position are set out in the Strategic Report on pages 2 to 7 of these financial statements.

In relation to the statement of financial position, the directors draw the reader's attention to note 20 to the financial statements concerning the background to the Grant Account. The Grant Account represents capital grants received from the Horserace Betting Levy Board to which the company has an absolute entitlement. In the event therefore that Shareholders' Funds/Deficit were restated to include the full extent of this entitlement, Shareholders' Funds would total £92.4m (2020: £71.1m).

The financial position of the company, liquidity position and borrowing facilities are described in the Strategic Report on pages 2 to 7.

The company meets its day-to-day working capital requirements through use of its cash, overdraft and banking facilities (see notes 15 and 16). The company signed a revised banking facility in December 2018. The total facility is £92m and includes a £50m term loan and a £42m revolving credit facility. The facility is for a 12 year term, until 2030, with repayments commencing at the end of 2021. The company continues to be contracted to interest rate swap arrangements in order to limit the exposure to interest rate fluctuations. These swaps are matched with the period of the facility on an amortising basis. Overall, we are satisfied that our debt is at a long-term sustainable and manageable level.

There has been a significant improvement in revenue streams during 2021 which can be seen in the income statement on page 13 and is discussed in the Strategic Report on pages 2 to 7. Racing continued throughout 2021 meaning revenues continued to be earned from media rights and sponsorship while racing carried on behind closed doors. Admissions for the last quarter of 2021 were high and ahead of the equivalent period in 2019.

In last year's financial statements we reported a material uncertainty that may cast significant doubt over the company's ability to continue as a going concern. It is the directors' view that this material uncertainty no longer exists as the likelihood of a cancellation of racing is deemed to be remote. Factors contributing to this view include; the successful rollout of the COVID-19 vaccination programme, removal of all remaining restrictions by the Government including racing behind closed doors and capacity restrictions thereafter.

In both the base case and reasonable down-side scenarios, the Group is forecasting to remain significantly cash positive and compliant with covenants during the remainder of 2022 and 2023. This is based on reasonable sensitivities against admissions, including the impact of a recurrence of racing behind closed doors coinciding with key fixtures in the Jockey Club's 2022 racing calendar. If an uninsured situation, such as a pandemic recurrence, arose and affected attendances at the Cheltenham Festival, then the Group would take steps to mitigate the effect on covenant compliance.

1. Accounting policies (continued)

Going concern (continued)

The directors have reasonable expectations that the company is well placed to manage business risks and continue in operational existence for the foreseeable future (which accounting standards require to be at least a year from the date of this report) and have not identified any material uncertainties to the company's ability to do so. The company therefore continues to adopt the going concern basis of accounting in preparing the annual financial statements.

Turnover

Turnover represents income receivable (excluding VAT) on performance of the principal activities of the company of operating and managing racecourses, their facilities and broadcasting rights and is recognised in the period to which it relates. Turnover is recognised only when it is probable that the company will receive the previously agreed upon payment and that this amount can be measured reliably. Turnover arises wholly within the United Kingdom.

Tangible fixed assets

Tangible fixed assets are stated at historical cost less accumulated depreciation and provision for impairment losses. Historical cost includes expenditure that is directly attributable to bringing the asset to the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management.

The company adds to the carrying amount of an item of fixed assets the cost of replacing part of such an item when that cost is incurred if the replacement part is expected to provide incremental future benefits to the company. The carrying amount of the replaced part is derecognised through fixed asset disposals. Repairs and maintenance are charged to the income statement during the period in which they are incurred.

Depreciation

Depreciation is not provided on freehold land. On other assets it is provided on cost or revalued amounts in equal annual instalments over the estimated lives of the assets as follows:

Freehold buildings	-	twenty to fifty years
Long/short leasehold buildings	-	over period of lease
All-weather track surface	-	ten years
Plant, machinery and equipment	-	four to ten years
Groundworks, including course drainage and car parks	-	ten to thirty years
Fixtures, fittings and computer equipment	-	three to ten years
Vehicles	-	four or five years

Assets in the course of construction are not depreciated until they are brought into use.

The assets' residual values, useful lives and depreciation methods are reviewed, and adjusted prospectively if appropriate, if there is an indication of a significant change since the last reporting date.

Gains and losses on disposals are determined by comparing the proceeds with the carrying amount and, where material, are recognised within 'other operating income' in the income statement.

Impairment of fixed assets

Assets that are subject to depreciation or amortisation are assessed at each reporting date to determine whether there is any indication that the assets are impaired. Where there is any indication that an asset may be impaired, the carrying value of the asset (or cash-generating unit (CGU)) to which the asset has been allocated) is tested for impairment. An impairment loss is recognised for the amount by which the asset's carrying amount exceeds its recoverable amount. The recoverable amount is the higher of an asset's (or CGU's) fair value less costs to sell and value in use. For the purposes of assessing impairment, assets are grouped at the lowest levels for which there are separately identifiable cash flows (CGUs). Non-financial assets that have been previously impaired are reviewed at each reporting date to assess whether there is any indication that the impairment losses recognised in prior periods may no longer exist or may have decreased.

1. Accounting policies (continued)

Investments

Shares in group companies, associates and other investments, all of which are unlisted, are stated at cost less provision for any impairment in value.

Stocks

Stocks comprising goods for resale are valued at the lower of cost (purchase price) and net realisable value.

Current and deferred taxation

The tax expense for the period comprises current and deferred tax. Tax is recognised in the income statement, except that a change attributable to an item of income or expense recognised as other comprehensive income or to an item recognised directly in equity is also recognised in other comprehensive income or directly in equity respectively.

The current income tax charge is calculated on the basis of tax rates and laws that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the reporting date.

Deferred balances are recognised in respect of all timing differences that have originated but not reversed by the reporting date, except:

- The recognition of deferred tax assets is limited to the extent that it is probable that they will be recovered against the reversal of deferred tax liabilities or other future taxable profits;
- Any deferred tax balances are reversed if and when all conditions for retaining associated tax allowances have been met; and
- Where timing differences relate to interests in subsidiaries, associates, branches and joint ventures and the company can control their reversal and such reversal is not considered probable in the foreseeable future.

Deferred tax balances are not recognised in respect of permanent differences except in respect of business combinations, when deferred tax is recognised on the differences between the fair values of assets acquired and the future tax deductions available for them and the differences between the fair values of liabilities acquired and the amount that will be assessed for tax.

Deferred income tax is determined using tax rates and laws that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the reporting date.

Capital grants

Capital grants are received from the Horserace Betting Levy Board ("HBLB") in respect of capital expenditure.

The company has adopted the accruals model for accounting for capital grants. Capital grants received are taken to the grant account. Credits are made to the income statement by equal annual instalments that match the period over which the relevant fixed assets are depreciated, typically a period of 30 years.

Leases

Where assets are financed by leasing agreements that give rights approximately to ownership (finance leases), the assets are treated as if they have been purchased outright. The amount capitalised is the present value of the minimum lease payments payable over the term of the lease. The corresponding leasing commitments are shown as amounts payable to the lessor. Depreciation on the relevant assets is charged to profit or loss over the shorter of estimated useful economic life and the term of the lease.

Lease payments are analysed between capital and interest components so that the interest element of the payment is charged to profit or loss over the term of the lease and is calculated so that it represents a constant proportion of the balance of capital repayments outstanding. The capital part reduces the amounts payable to the lessor.

All other leases are treated as operating leases. Their annual rentals are charged to profit or loss on a straightline basis over the term of the lease.

1. Accounting policies (continued)

Sale and leaseback

When a sale and leaseback transaction results in a finance lease no gain is immediately recognised for any excess of sales proceeds over the carrying amount of the asset. Instead, the proceeds are presented as a liability and subsequently measured at amortised cost using the effective interest method.

Pension costs

For the company's defined benefit scheme, the full service cost of pension provision for the period, together with the cost of any benefits relating to past service is charged to the income statement. The expected increase in the present value of scheme liabilities and the long term expected return on assets based on the market value of the scheme assets at the start of the period, are included in the income statement under interest payable. The difference between the market value of the scheme assets and the present value of accrued pension liabilities is shown as an asset or liability on the face of the statement of financial position. Any difference between the expected return on assets and that achieved is recognised in the statement of comprehensive income together with the difference from experience or assumption changes.

Defined benefit schemes are funded, with the assets of the scheme held separately from those of the company, in separate trustee administered funds. Special contributions into the scheme are recognised as an increase in the scheme assets. Pension scheme assets are measured at fair value and liabilities are measured on an actuarial basis using the projected unit method and discounted at a rate equivalent to the current rate of return on a high quality corporate bond of equivalent currency and term to the scheme liabilities. The actuarial valuations are obtained at least triennially and are updated at each reporting date. The resulting defined benefit asset or liability is presented separately after other net assets on the face of the statement of financial position.

The company's defined benefit scheme was closed to new entrants from November 2011 and was closed to all future accruals on 31 March 2012.

Contributions to the company's defined contribution schemes are charged to the income statement in the year in which they become payable. The assets of these schemes are held separately in independently administered schemes.

Financial instruments

Financial assets and financial liabilities are recognised when the company becomes a party to the contractual provisions of the instrument.

Financial liabilities and equity instruments are classified according to the substance of the contractual arrangements entered into. An equity instrument is any contract that evidences a residual interest in the assets of the company after deducting all of its liabilities.

(i) Financial assets and liabilities

All financial assets and liabilities are initially measured at transaction price (including transaction costs) and subsequently at cost less impairment or amortised cost, except for those financial assets classified as at fair value through profit or loss, which are initially measured at fair value (which is normally the transaction price excluding transaction costs), unless the arrangement constitutes a financing transaction. If an arrangement constitutes a financing transaction, the financial asset or financial liability is measured at the present value of the future payments discounted at a market rate of interest for a similar debt instrument.

Financial assets and liabilities are only offset in the statement of financial position when, and only when, there exists a legally enforceable right to set off the recognised amounts and the company intends either to settle on a net basis, or to realise the asset and settle the liability simultaneously.

Debt instruments which meet the following conditions are subsequently measured at amortised cost using the effective interest method:

(a) The contractual return to the holder is (i) a fixed amount; (ii) a positive fixed rate or a positive variable rate; or (iii) a combination of a positive or a negative fixed rate and a positive variable rate.

(b) The contract may provide for repayments of the principal or the return to the holder (but not both) to be linked to a single relevant observable index of general price inflation of the currency in which the debt instrument is denominated, provided such links are not leveraged.

1. Accounting policies (continued)

Financial instruments (continued)

(c) The contract may provide for a determinable variation of the return to the holder during the life of the instrument, provided that (i) the new rate satisfies condition (a) and the variation is not contingent on future events other than (1) a change of a contractual variable rate; (2) to protect the holder against credit deterioration of the issuer; (3) changes in levies applied by a central bank or arising from changes in relevant taxation or law; or (ii) the new rate is a market rate of interest and satisfies condition (a).

(d) There is no contractual provision that could, by its terms, result in the holder losing the principal amount or any interest attributable to the current period or prior periods.

(e) Contractual provisions that permit the issuer to prepay a debt instrument or permit the holder to put it back to the issuer before maturity are not contingent on future events, other than to protect the holder against the credit deterioration of the issuer or a change in control of the issuer, or to protect the holder or issuer against changes in levies applied by a central bank or arising from changes in relevant taxation or law.

(f) Contractual provisions may permit the extension of the term of the debt instrument, provided that the return to the holder and any other contractual provisions applicable during the extended term satisfy the conditions of paragraphs (a) to (c).

Debt instruments that have no stated interest rate (and do not constitute financing transactions) and are classified as payable or receivable within one year are initially measured at an undiscounted amount of the cash or other consideration expected to be paid or received, net of impairment.

With the exception of some hedging instruments, other debt instruments not meeting these conditions are measured at fair value through profit or loss.

Interest-bearing bank loans and overdrafts are recorded at the proceeds received, net of direct issue costs. Finance charges, including premiums payable on settlement or redemption and direct issue costs, are accounted for on an accruals basis in the income statement using the effective interest method and are added to the carrying amount of the instrument to the extent that they are not settled in the period in which they arise or to which they relate. Debt issue costs are initially recognised as a reduction in the proceeds of the associated capital instrument.

(ii) Investments

Investments in subsidiaries and associates are measured at cost less impairment.

(iii) Derivative financial instruments

The company uses derivative financial instruments to reduce exposure to interest rate movements. The company does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes.

Derivatives are initially recognised at fair value at the date a derivative contract is entered into and are subsequently remeasured to their fair value at each reporting date. The resulting gain or loss is recognised in profit or loss immediately unless the derivative is designated and effective as a hedging instrument, in which event the timing of the recognition in profit or loss depends on the nature of the hedge relationship.

(iv) Fair value measurement

The best evidence of fair value is a quoted price for an identical asset in an active market. When quoted prices are unavailable, the price of a recent transaction for an identical asset provides evidence of fair value as long as there has not been a significant change in economic circumstances or a significant lapse of time since the transaction took place. If the market is not active and recent transactions of an identical asset on their own are not a good estimate of fair value, the fair value is estimated by using a valuation technique.

Reserves

The company's reserves consist solely of the profit and loss account, which represents cumulative profits or losses, net of dividends paid and other adjustments.

2. Judgements in applying accounting policies and key sources of estimation uncertainty

In preparing these financial statements, the directors have made the following judgements:

- Determine whether leases entered into by the company either as a lessor or a lessee are operating or finance leases. These decisions depend on an assessment of whether the risks and rewards of ownership have been transferred from the lessor to the lessee on a lease by lease basis.
- Determine whether there are indicators of impairment of the company's tangible assets. Factors taken into consideration in reaching such a decision include the economic viability and expected future financial performance of the asset and, where it is a component of a larger cash-generating unit, the viability and expected future performance of that unit.

Other key sources of estimation uncertainty

• Tangible fixed assets (see note 12)

Tangible fixed assets, other than land, are depreciated over their useful lives taking into account residual values, where appropriate. The actual lives of the assets and residual values are assessed annually and may vary depending on a number of factors. In re-assessing asset lives, factors such as asset replacement and maintenance programmes are taken into account. Residual value assessments consider issues such as future market conditions, the remaining life of the asset and projected disposal values.

• Deferred tax (see note 19)

Deferred tax assets are recognised for unused tax losses, unabsorbed capital allowances and other deductible timing differences to the extent that it is probable that taxable profits will be available against which the losses, capital allowances and other deductible timing differences can be utilised. Management judgement is required to determine the amount of deferred tax assets that can be recognised, based upon the likely timing and level of future taxable profits.

• Defined benefit pension scheme (see note 18)

The cost of the defined benefit pension plan is determined using actuarial valuations. The actuarial valuation involves making assumptions about discount rates, future salary increases, mortality rates and future pension increases. Due to the complexity of the valuation, the underlying assumptions and the long term nature of these plans, such estimates are subject to significant uncertainty. The discount rate is based on a yield curve derived from bonds in the ICE Bank of England Sterling AA Corporate Index and takes account of the duration of the liabilities and implied approximate shape of future cash flows. The mortality rate is based on publicly available mortality tables. Future salary increases and pension increases are based on expected future inflation rates.

3. Analysis of turnover

	2021 £m	2020 £m
Analysis by class of business		
Horse racing	127.1	106.4
Conference and events	10.5	5.1
	137.6	111.5

Turnover arises wholly within the United Kingdom.

4 Information regarding directors and employees

	2021 £m	2020 £m
Directors' remuneration	•	
Directors' emoluments (excluding pension contributions)	-	1.1
Company contributions to defined contribution pension schemes	-	0.1
	£m	£m
Remuneration of the highest paid director (excluding pension contributions)		0.2
	No.	No.
Number of directors serving at 31 December 2021 who are members of a defined benefit pension scheme	-	5

The Directors receive remuneration from The Jockey Club in respect of services to the group. It is not possible to determine the amount of remuneration that relates to the services to the company.

During the year, there were no retirement benefits accruing to directors (2020: 6) in respect of defined contribution schemes.

Company pension contributions of £nil (2020: £nil) were paid into a defined contribution scheme on behalf of the highest paid director.

The defined benefit scheme was closed to new entrants from 1 November 2011 and was closed to all future accruals on 31 March 2012.

Permanent employees:	.7
Wages and salaries 19.0 16	
	. <i>'</i> .6
•	.4
21.6 19.	.7
Raceday employees:	
5	.0
Social security costs	-
Total employee costs 22.3 20.	.7
Average number of employees (full time equivalents) No. No.	0.
Administration and maintenance 431 49)3
Raceday 39 5	54
470 54	17

5. Income from other investments

		2021 £m	2020 £m
	Dividends received from associated undertakings	1.2	0.2
6.	Interest receivable and similar income		
		2021 £m	2020 £m
	Intercompany loans	0.3	0.3
		<u>.</u>	
7.	Interest payable and similar charges		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2021 £m	2020 £m
	Bank loans and overdrafts Intercompany loans Other loans	3.4 0.3	3.8 0.4 0.1
		3.7	4.3
8.	Other finance income/(costs)		
		2021 £m	2020 £m
	Change in fair value of interest rate swap (notes 15 and 16) Net interest on net defined benefit liability (note 18) Effective interest credit on fair value of loans	4.6 (0.3) 0.1	(2.3) (0.2) 0.1
		4.4	(2.4)
9.	Other operating income		
		2021	2020
•		£m	£m
	Insurance claims	25.3	16.4
	Compensation for early termination of contract Furlough grants	1.0 0.4	6.9 4.1
	r unough grunto	26.7	<u> </u>
			27. 4

24

,• ,*' ,*

10. Operating profit

•, *,, •,

	2021	2020
	£m	£m
Operating profit is after including:	•	
Income in respect of:		
Amortisation of capital grants	8.0	8.1
HBLB contributions	28.3	21.9
Charges in respect of:		. <u></u>
Rentals of land and buildings	0.2	0.2
Rentals of plant and equipment	1.0	0.9
Depreciation:		
Owned and leased assets	13.4	14.6
Fees payable to the company's auditors for the audit of the company's annual	<u></u>	
accounts	0.1	0.1
Fees payable to the company's auditors for other services to the company:		
Tax services	0.1	0.1
Other advisory services	-	-
Total non-audit fees	0.1	0.1

11. Tax charge on profit on ordinary activities

a) Analysis of charge in the year

	2021		2020	
	£m	£m	£m	£m
Current tax:				
UK corporation tax on the profit for the year	3.3		3.2	
Adjustments in respect of previous years	0.2		(0.1)	
Total current tax		3.5		3.1
Deferred tax:				
Adjustments in respect of prior years	(0.2)		-	
Origination and reversal of timing differences	1.3		(0.5)	
Adjustment in respect of change of rate of	(2.4)		(0.5)	
corporation tax	······································			
Total deferred tax		(1.3)		(1.0)
Tax charge on profit on ordinary activities		2.2		2.1

11. Tax charge on profit on ordinary activities (continued)

b) Factors affecting tax charge for the year

The tax assessed for the year is lower than (2020: lower than) the standard rate of corporation tax in the year. The differences are explained below:

	2021 £m	2020 £m
Profit on ordinary activities before taxation	23.2	15.0
Profit on ordinary activities multiplied by standard rate of corporation tax in the UK 19.00% (2020: 19.00%) Effects of:	4.4	2.9
Expenses not deductible for tax purposes	1.8	1.6
Income not taxable	(1.6)	(1.6)
Group relief claimed	(0.1)	(0.1)
Short-term timing differences	(0.2)	-
Adjustments in respect of previous years	-	(0.1)
Transfer pricing adjustments	(0.1)	(0.1)
Adjustment in respect of change of rate of corporation tax	(2.3)	(0.5)
Deferred tax not recognised	0.3	· -
Total tax charge for the year	2.2	2.1

Factors affecting future tax charge

The substantively enacted tax rate remained at 19% as at the Balance Sheet date of 31 December 2021. It was announced on 3 March 2021 that the main rate of corporation tax would increase from 19% to 25% from 1 April 2023. For profits up to £50,000, the corporation tax rate will remain at 19% and for profits over £250,000, the corporation tax rate will also be introduced for profits between the lower and upper limits. The Government included the above changes in the Finance Bill 2021 that had its third reading on 24 May 2021 and is now considered substantively enacted. The newly enacted rates are applicable for calculation of deferred tax balances to the extent that these are the rates expected at the time of reversal of the relevant deferred tax assets and liabilities.

.;

12. Tangible fixed assets

ι,

	Land	Freehold Buildings	Long leasehold land and buildings	Plant, equipment, furniture and vehicles	Total
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Cost					
At 1 January 2021	4.5	229.6	73.3	112.4	419.8
Additions	-	1.4	-	3.0	4.4
Disposals	-	-	-	-	-
At 31 December 2021	4.5	231.0	73.3	115.4	424.2
Depreciation					
At 1 January 2021		117.3	17.9	95.5	230.7
Charge for the year	-	6.1	1.1	6.2	13.4
On disposals		-		-	-
At 31 December 2021		123.4	19.0	101.7	244.1
Net book value	-				
At 31 December 2021	4.5	107.6	54.3	13.7	180.1
At 31 December 2020	4.5	112.3	55.4	16.9	189.1

The net book value of plant and machinery includes $\pounds 1.1m$ (2020: $\pounds 1.3m$) in respect of assets held under hire purchase contracts and finance leases. Such assets are generally classified as finance leases as the rental period amounts to the estimated useful economic life of the assets concerned and often the company has the right to purchase the assets outright at the end of the minimum lease term by paying a nominal amount. The assets are ground care, agricultural and access equipment and are generally leased over 5 to 7 years. Title typically reverts to the company at the end of the lease.

13. Investments

	Investment in subsidiary undertakings £m	Investments in associate undertakings £m	Other investments £m	Total £m
Cost				
At 31 December 2020	20.5	1.6	0.2	22.3
Additions	-	-	-	-
At 31 December 2021	20.5	1.6	0.2	22.3
Provision				
At 31 December 2021 and 2020	19.9	0.2	-	20.1
Net book value				
At 31 December 2021	0.6	1.4	0.2	2.2
At 31 December 2020	0.6	1.4	0.2	2.2

13. Investments (continued)

The company's subsidiaries, all of which are incorporated in Great Britain and registered in England and Wales, are:

Epsom Racecourse Hotel Company Limited Racecourse Holdings Trust Limited Racecourse Investments Limited Barnard & Hill Limited Kempton Park Holding Company Limited Epsom Downs Racecourse Limited Kempton Racecourse Investments Limited Sandown Park Limited The Kempton Park Racecourse Company Limited The Epsom Grand Stand Association Limited Jockey Club Farming Company Limited Aintree Racecourse Company Limited The Carlisle Racecourse Company Limited

The Haydock Park Racecourse Company Limited Haydock Park Leisure Company Limited Huntingdon Steeplechases Limited

Market Rasen Racecourse Limited

Newmarket Racecourses Limited

Nottingham Racecourse Company Limited

The Steeplechase Company (Cheltenham) Limited Warwick Racecourse Company Limited The Wincanton Races Company Limited Devon & Exeter Racecourse Limited Devon & Exeter 2007 Limited

Devon & Exeter Steeplechases

Registered address 75 High Holborn, London, WC1V 6LS 101 High Street, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 8JL Aintree, Liverpool, L9 5AS Grandstand Office, The Racecourse, Durdar Road, Carlisle, CA2 4TS Newton-Le-Willows, Merseyside, WA12 0HQ Newton-Le-Willows, Merseyside, WA12 0HO Westfield House, The Links, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 0TG Legsby Road, Market Rasen, Lincolnshire, **LN8 3EA** Westfield House, The Links, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 OTG Westfield House, The Links, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 OTG Prestbury Park, Cheltenham, Glos, GL50 4SH

. 6

Prestbury Park, Cheltenham, Glos, GL50 4SH Prestbury Park, Cheltenham, Glos, GL50 4SH

The company directly owns 100% of the ordinary shares of all of the subsidiaries listed above with the exception of Devon & Exeter Steeplechases which is a 100% indirect subsidiary through ownership of Devon & Exeter Racecourse 2007 Limited. With the exception of Epsom Racecourse Hotel Company Limited and Jockey Club Farming Company Limited, all companies are dormant although they operate under management agreements with a view to the day to day management of operations of fifteen racecourses and file unaudited accounts.

The company holds the whole of the share capital of all subsidiaries with the exception of 600 (2020: 600) 6% preference shares of $\pounds 1$ each in The Wincanton Races Company limited, being 8.3% (2020: 8.3%) of that class.

The principal activities of the subsidiaries, with the exception of Epsom Racecourse Hotel Company Limited which owns and operates a hotel at Epsom Downs racecourse and Jockey Club Farming Company Limited which manages farmland, are the supply of horseracing and leisure facilities to the public as agent for Jockey Club Racecourses Limited.

Associates and Joint Ventures

During 2006, an associate of the company, Racecourse Media Services, subscribed for 500 ordinary shares (being 50% of that class) in Amalgamated Racing Limited, a company incorporated in the United Kingdom and registered in England and Wales. Amalgamated Racing Limited is treated as a joint venture in the consolidated accounts of the company's parent company, Jockey Club Racecourses (Holdings) Limited, where further details can be found. The company ceased trading on 31 March 2018 and was dissolved on 1 January 2020.

13. Investments (continued)

The company owns 14 class A shares (38.88% of the total) (2020: 38.88%) of Racecourse Retail Business Limited, a company registered in England with company number 09776843 whose registered office is at 10th Floor, The Met Building, 22 Percy Street, London, W1T 2BU. Racecourse Retail Business Limited is treated as a joint venture in the consolidated accounts of the company's parent company, Jockey Club Racecourses (Holdings) Limited, where further details can be found.

During 2017, the company became of member of Britbet Racing LLP (formerly July 2018 LLP), a limited liability partnership incorporated and registered in England and Wales with registered number OC413330 and whose registered office is at c/o 75 High Holborn, London, WC1V 6LS. The company had a membership interest of 34.1% at 31 December 2020 (2020: 34.1%). The partnership has been set up to operate pool betting activities and started trading in July 2018.

14. Debtors

3...

2020 £m
10.0
2.6
7.3
-
0.1
7.4
18.0
45.4

Other debtors include £2.1m (2020: £2.1m) due after more than one year.

The impairment loss recognised in the company profit or loss for the period in respect of bad and doubtful trade debtors was £641,000 (2020: £472,000).

Amounts owed by group undertakings includes a loan of £6.3m (2020: £6.3m) to Epsom Racecourse Hotel Company Limited. The loan is unsecured and repayable on demand. Interest is charged at the same interest rate as the company's external debt.

15. Creditors: amounts falling due within one year

	2021 £m	2020 £m
Trade creditors	6.7	2.8
Amounts owed to subsidiary undertakings	0.7	0.7
Amounts owed to group undertakings	5.0	9.4
Other creditors	5.7	5.0
Other taxes and social security	1.3	-
Bank loans	-	3.0
Interest rate swap	0.8	1.4
Obligations under finance leases and hire purchase contracts	0.3	0.3
Accruals and deferred income	64.5	30.7
	85.0	53.3

16. Creditors: amounts falling due after more than one year

	2021 £m	2020 £m
Bank loans	70.0	92.0
Issue costs associated with refinancing	(0.8)	(0.8)
Other loans	0.3	0.3
Deferred income	5.0	3.5
Deferred tax	0.6	-
Interest rate swap	2.6	6.6
Obligations under finance leases and hire purchase contracts	0.6	0.9
Amount owed to group undertakings	3.1	3.9
Amount owed to ultimate parent company	0.2	0.2
	81.6	106.6

Repayment Term	s	s
----------------	---	---

2021

2020	
/0/0	

2021

2020

		Jockey Club Racecourse			Jockey Club Racecourse	
	Bank loans	Bond Company	Finance leases	Bank loans	Bond Company	Finance leases
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Repayable within one year	-	0.4	0.3	3.0	5.0	0.3
Repayable between one and two years	-		0.3	5.0	0.6	0.4
Repayable between two and five years	20.0	3.1	0.3	10.0	3.3	0.5
Repayable after five years	50.0	•	•	77.0	-	
-	70.0	3.5	0.9	95.0	\$.9	1.2
Less: amounts falling due within one year	•	(0.4)	(0.3)	(3.0)	(5.0)	(0.3)
	70.0	3.1	0.6	92.0	3.9	0.9

Bank loans

The bank loans consist of a £92m (2020: £95m) senior facility to Jockey Club Racecourses Limited, of which £50m (2020: £95m) is drawn down and is repayable in 2030. The bank loans are secured on the freehold land and buildings owned by the company and interest is charged at a rate depending on the performance of the company and its immediate holding company against the bank leverage covenant which is the ratio of total net debt to EBITDA. The lowest interest rate chargeable is 2.25% above LIBOR (replaced with the SONIA RFR Compound Reference Rate from 31 December 2021). During the year the company obtained a £20m CLBILS facility from its existing banking syndicate which was fully drawn down at 31 December 2021 (2020: £nil). Interest is chargeable at 1.65% above SONIA on £10m of the loan and at 1.75% above SONIA on the remaining £10.0m.

Other loans

The amount owed to the ultimate parent company of $\pounds 0.2m$ (2020: $\pounds 0.2m$) has no fixed repayment date and is interest free. Amounts owed to group undertakings includes $\pounds 3.5m$ (2020: $\pounds 8.9m$) which is subject to an interest rate of 4.25% (2020: 4.75%) pa and is repayable from 2020. This loan is attached to an Unlisted Retail Bond issued by The Jockey Club Racecourse Bond Company Plc and is repayable in line with Bondholders' redemptions, the timings of which are not fixed. Other amounts due to group undertakings are interest free and repayable on demand.

17. Financial instruments

50

The carrying values of the company's financial assets and liabilities are summarised by category below:

Financial Assets	2021 £m	2020 £m
Measured at undiscounted amount receivable		
• Trade and other debtors (note 14)	23.2	12.6
Amounts due from group undertakings (note 14)	7.6	7.3
• Cash	20.0	16.9
Financial instruments measured at cost less impairment		
• Fixed asset unlisted investments (note 13)	0.2	0.2
	51.0	37.0
Financial Liabilities	2021 £m	2020 £m
Measured at fair value through profit or loss		
• Interest rate swap (notes 15 and 16)	3.4	8.0
Measured at amortised cost		
 Loans payable (notes 15 and 16) 	70.3	95.3
 Obligations under finance leases (notes 15 and 16) 	0.9	1.2
Measured at undiscounted amount payable		
Trade and other creditors (note 15)	12.4	7.8
Accruals (note 15)	20.3	9.4
 Amounts owed to group and parent undertakings (notes 15 and 16) 	9.0	14.2
	116.3	135.9

The company's income, expense, gains and losses in respect of financial instruments are as follows:

	2021 £m	2020 £m
Interest income and expense		
Total interest income for financial assets at amortised cost (note 6)	0.3	0.3
Total interest expense for financial liabilities at amortised cost (note 7)	(3.7)	(4.3)
Fair value gains and losses	. ,	. ,
On financial liabilities measured at fair value through profit or loss (note 8)	4.7	(2.2)
	1.3	(6.2)

18. Pension schemes

Defined benefit pension scheme

Until 31 March 2007, all group companies operated a contributory pension scheme providing benefits based on final pensionable pay ("FS Section"), which changed on 1 April 2007 to being based on Career Average Earnings ("CAE Section"). The assets of the scheme are held separately from those of the group.

The company operates a defined benefit pension scheme. The Final Salary section of the Scheme closed to accrual with effect from 31 March 2007, although the link to Pensionable Pay was maintained until 31 March 2010. Pension benefits in the Final Salary section of the Scheme are therefore based on the members' Final Pensionable Earnings as at 31 March 2010 (or date of leaving if earlier) and service to 31 March 2007 (or date of leaving if earlier). The Career Average Earnings (CAE) section of the Scheme opened on 1 April 2007 and closed to future accrual with effect from 31 March 2012. Pension benefits in the CAE section of the Scheme are built up each year based on the members' Pensionable Pay in that year.

The Trustees are responsible for running the Scheme in accordance with the Scheme's Trust Deed and Rules, which sets out their powers. The Trustees of the Scheme are required to act in the best interests of the beneficiaries of the Scheme.

There are two categories of Scheme members:

- Deferred members: former active members of the Scheme not yet in receipt of a pension.
- Pensioner members: members in receipt of a pension.

Following the acquisition of United Racecourses in 1994, the group created a single contributory pension scheme providing benefits based on final pensionable pay. Accordingly the existing Racecourse Holdings Trust non-contributory scheme and the United Racecourses contributory scheme were closed in respect of future membership. Both schemes were based on final pensionable pay and all members of each scheme were offered the ability to switch into the newly created group pension arrangements.

A number of employees elected to remain in each of the closed schemes. As a result the closed schemes continue to be operated in respect of these members.

With effect from 1 April 2010, the benefits in the Final Salary Section ceased to be linked to Final Pensionable Salary and, from that date, increases on the excess over the Guaranteed Minimum Pension ("GMP") are in line with increases in the Retail Prices Index (capped at 5% over the period). The GMP is increased in line with National Average Earnings. The defined benefit scheme was closed to new entrants from 1 November 2011 and was closed to all future accruals on 31 March 2012.

Future funding obligation

The Trustees are required to carry out an actuarial valuation every 3 years. The latest actuarial valuation was carried out as at 31 July 2020 which revealed a funding shortfall (technical provisions minus value of assets) of $\pounds 18.8m$. To eliminate this funding shortfall, the Trustees and the Employer agreed that the Employer will pay contributions over the period from 1 August 2020 to 31 December 2029. The Employer has paid $\pounds 1.6m$ in contributions between 1 August 2020 and 31 December 2021 and expects to pay contributions between 1 January 2022 and 31 December 2029 as follows:

- £125,000 per month from 1 January 2022 to 31 December 2022.
- An additional lump sum of £250,000 before 31 December 2023 if the Employer's Group Operating Profit for the year ended 31 December 2022 exceeds £11.5m.
- £164,500 per month from 1 January 2023 to 31 December 2029 inclusive, increasing by 3.3% pa each 1 January, with the first increase applying on 1 January 2024.

18. Pension schemes (continued)

4.4

The company therefore expects to pay $\pounds 1,500,000$, to the Scheme during the accounting year beginning 1 January 2022 and $\pounds 18.4m$ over the period to 1 August 2020 to 31 December 2029.

In addition to the contributions set out above, all expenses involved in running the Scheme and the cost of any levies (including the PPF levy) will be paid directly by the company as and when they fall due unless otherwise agreed by the Trustees.

Reconciliation of Scheme's assets and defined benefit obligations

	Assets	Liabilities	Total
	. £m	£m	£m
At 1 January 2021	62.7	(85.3)	(22.6)
Benefits paid	(1.7)	1.7	-
Employer contributions	1.1	-	1.1
Administrative expenses	(0.3)	-	(0.3)
Interest income/(cost)	0.8	(1.1)	(0.3)
Remeasurement gains:	-	-	-
Actuarial gains	-	5.4	5.4
Return on assets excluding interest income	5.6	-	5.6
At 31 December 2021	68.2	(79.3)	(11.1)
Scheme assets The fair value of the assets of the scheme were:			
The fail value of the assets of the scheme were.		2021	2020
Asset class		£m	£m
- · · ·			
Equities		27.1	24.8
Gilts		20.7	17.1
Secured property leases		6.3	5.8
Diversified growth funds		4.3	6.9
Diversified credit funds		9.2	5.5
Cash		0.6	2.6
		68.2	62.7
The return on assets was:			

Interest income Return on assets excluding interest income

5.1

33

2020

£m

1.2

3.8

5.0

2021

£m

0.8

5.6

6.4

18. Pension schemes (continued)

Reconciliation to the statement of financial position

Market value of assets Present value of defined benefit obligation	2021 £m 68.2 (79.3)	2020 £m 62.7 (85.3)
· · · ·	(11.1)	(22.6)
,	<u> </u>	
The following amounts have been recognised in the financial statements:		
Income statement Amounts included in Administrative expenses:	, 2021 £m	2020 £m
Past service cost Administrative expenses	(0.3)	(0.1) (0.2)
	(0.3)	(0.3)
Amounts included in other finance income/(costs): Net interest cost	(0.3)	(0.2)
	(0.3)	(0.2)
Total included in income statement	(0.6)	(0.5)
6 () () () () () () () () () (2021	2020
Other comprehensive income/(loss)	£m 't	£m
Changes in assumptions underlying the present value of scheme liabilities Actual return on assets less interest	5.4 5.6	(15.9) 3.8
	11.0	(12.1)

34

• 6



18. Pension schemes (continued)

10

Principal actuarial assumptions used at the reporting date:

Description Discount rate RPI inflation CPI inflation	2021 1.95% pa 3.30% pa 2.90% pa	2020 1.30% pa 2.90% pa a 2.40% pa
Revaluation of deferred pensions: GMP (S148) Non GMP CAE pension	5.10% pa 2.90% pa 0.00% pa	4.60% pa 2.40% pa 0.00% pa
Pension increases: Pre 88 GMP Post 88 GMP Pre 05 pension Post 05 pension	0.00% pa 2.30% pa 3.20% pa 2.20% pa	0.00% pa 2.10% pa 2.90% pa 2.20% pa
Mortality (before and after retirement)	S3PMA/S3PFA CMI 2020 model with a long term rate of improvement of 1.25% pa and a +1 year age rating	S2PMA/S2PFA CMI 2019 model with a long term rate of improvement of 1.25% pa and a +1 year age rating
Allowance for cash commutation Proportion married	75% of members are assumed to take the maximum tax-free cash available 85% for males 75% for females	75% of members are assumed to take the maximum tax-free cash available 85% for males 75% for females

Defined contribution pension scheme

The amount recognised in the income statement as an expense in relation to the company's defined contribution scheme is $\pounds 1.4m$ (2020: $\pounds 1.4m$). There was $\pounds 0.1m$ (2020: $\pounds 0.2m$) owing at the year end.

19. Deferred Tax

		£m
Deferred tax movement for the year:		
Net deferred tax asset at 1 January 2021		7.4
Charged to the income statement		1.3
Credited to other comprehensive income		(2.8)
Net deferred tax asset at 31 December 2021		5.9
	2021	2020
	£m	£m
Provision has been made for deferred taxation as follows:		
Accelerated capital allowances	2.5	1.9
Short term timing differences on interest rate swap	1.2	1.7
Defined benefit pension scheme	2.8	4.2
Capital gains	(0.6)	(0.4)
	5.9	7.4

The precise timing of utilisation of deferred tax assets depends on a number of factors, in particular the timing and extent of taxable profits. It remains probable that the company will remain profitable and fully utilise its deferred tax assets in a reasonable timeframe and the board does not anticipate the tax profile of the company changing significantly over the forthcoming year.

20. Grant Account

	2021 £m	2020 £m
Balance at 1 January Capital grants credited to the income statement	96.3 (8.0)	104.4 (8.1)
Balance at 31 December	88.3	96.3

Under the Horserace Betting Levy Board's (HBLB) capital credits scheme, capital grants are available for certain qualifying expenditure. In accordance with accounting principles these are not initially shown as part of shareholders' funds but are released to the income statement over the life of the related assets. The grants are shown within capital and reserves as the associated work has been performed and is not, in any way, repayable.

21. Called up share capital

22.

	Authorized allotted and fully paids	2021 £m	2020 £m
	Authorised, allotted and fully paid: 100,000 ordinary shares of £1 each	0.1	0.1
•	Capital commitments		
		2021 £m	2020 £m
	Expenditure contracted for but not provided in the financial statements	0.5	0.4

• •

23. Operating lease commitments

}.∉ ∙

Future minimum rentals payable under non-cancellable operating leases are as follows:

	2021 £m	2020 £m
Within one year	0.4	0.5
In two to five years	1.0	1.0
In over five years	0.2	0.2
	1.6	1.7

24. Contingent liabilities

At 31 December 2021 there were the following contingent liabilities:

The company has guaranteed a loan of £1,312,958 (2020: £1,321,429) payable by Jockey Club Farming Company Limited to Jockey Club Estates Limited.

25. Ultimate parent undertaking

The company's ultimate parent and controlling undertaking is The Jockey Club, a company incorporated in Great Britain by Royal Charter and registered in England and Wales. The company's immediate parent company is Jockey Club Racecourses (Holdings) Limited, a company registered in England and Wales whose registered address is 75 High Holborn, London, WC1V 6LS. The largest and smallest group for which statutory group accounts are prepared is headed by Jockey Club Racecourses (Holdings) Limited are publicly available from Companies House, Crown Way, Cardiff, CF14 3UZ.

26. Related party transactions

Jockey Club Racecourses Limited has loans from its ultimate parent undertaking, The Jockey Club, and its fellow subsidiaries, Jockey Club Estates Limited and The Jockey Club Racecourse Bond Company Plc, details of which are set out in note 15 and 16.

Jockey Club Racecourses Limited has loaned Epsom Racecourse Hotel Company Limited £6.3m (2020: £6.3m) and National Stud Limited £0.4m (2020: £0.4m).

The income statement includes £38.8m (2020: £19.7m) in licence fees receivable from, and £1.2m (2020: £1.3m) in Racetech fees payable to, Racing UK Limited; £nil (2020: £0.2m) dividends receivable from Racecourse Media Services Limited; and £17.9m (2020: £21.9m) in licence fees receivable from Racecourse Retail Business Limited

At 31 December 2021, the company was owed the following amounts by related parties: British Champions Series Limited £0.5m (2020: £0.6m) Racecourse Retail Business Limited £2.2m (2020: £2.2m) Britbet Racing LLP £1.8m (2020: £2.1m)

At 31 December 2021, the company owed the following amounts to related parties: Britbet Racing LLP £1.5m (2020: £1.5m)

Key management personnel include all directors of the company who together have authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the company. The total compensation paid to key management personnel for services provided to the company was $\pounds 0.8m$ (2020: $\pounds 1.4m$).

Filed on behalf of the Claimant / Applicant First Affidavit of N Truesdale Sworn before: Edward Gardiner Affidavit No. 1 Date Sworn: 1 August 2023 Exhibits: NT2 NT3

Case No. BL-2023-000713

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE THE BUSINESS AND PROPERTY COURTS OF ENGLAND AND WALES CHANCERY DIVISION BETWEEN:

JOCKEY CLUB RACECOURSES LIMITED

Claimant / Applicant

-and-

(1) DANIEL FRANK PETER KIDBY

(2) PERSONS UNKNOWN ENTERING THE AREA DESCRIBED BELOW AS THE "RACE TRACK" ON THE DAY OF A "RACING FIXTURE", EXCEPT AT "CROSSING POINTS" WITH "AUTHORISATION", AS DESCRIBED BELOW
(3) PERSONS UNKNOWN ENTERING AND/OR REMAINING ON ANY "CROSSING POINTS" WITHOUT "AUTHORISATION" ON THE DAY OF A "RACING FIXTURE", AS DESCRIBED BELOW
(4) PERSONS UNKNOWN ENTERING THE AREA DESCRIBED BELOW AS THE

(4) PERSONS UNKNOWN ENTERING THE AREA DESCRIBED BELOW AS THE "PARADE RING" WITHOUT "AUTHORISATION" ON THE DAY OF A "RACING FIXTURE", AS DESCRIBED BELOW

(5) PERSONS UNKNOWN ENTERING AND/OR REMAINING ON ANY PART OF THE AREAS DESCRIBED BELOW AS THE "HORSES' ROUTE TO THE PARADE RING" AND/OR THE "HORSES' ROUTE TO THE RACE TRACK" WITHOUT "AUTHORISATION" ON THE DAY OF A "RACING FIXTURE", AS DESCRIBED

BELOW

(6) PERSONS UNKNOWN INTENTIONALLY OBSTRUCTING THE "HORSE RACES", AS DESCRIBED BELOW

(7) PERSONS UNKNOWN INTENTIONALLY CAUSING ANY OBJECT TO ENTER ONTO AND/OR REMAIN ON THE "RACE TRACK" WITHOUT "AUTHORISATION" ON THE DAY OF A "RACING FIXTURE", AS DESCRIBED BELOW

(8) PERSONS UNKNOWN INTENTIONALLY ENDANGERING ANY PERSON AT THE LOCATION DESCRIBED BELOW AS THE "EPSOM RACECOURSE" ON THE DAY OF A "RACING FIXTURE", AS DESCRIBED BELOW

First to Eighth Defendants

(9) MR BEN NEWMAN

1

Ninth Defendant / Respondent

Filed on behalf of the Claimant / Applicant First Affidavit of N Truesdale Sworn before: Edward Gardiner Affidavit No. 1 Date Sworn: 1 August 2023 Exhibits: NT2 NT3

FIRST AFFIDAVIT OF NEVIN TRUESDALE

I, **NEVIN TRUESDALE**, Group Chief Executive Officer of The Jockey Club (Company Number RC000287, 21-27 Lambs Conduit Street, London, England, WC1N 3NL), the ultimate parent company of Jockey Club Racecourses Limited (Company Number 02909409, 21-27 Lambs Conduit Street, London, England, WC1N 3NL) (the latter being the "**Applicant**"), **STATE ON OATH** as follows:

- I am employed as the Group Chief Executive Officer of The Jockey Club, the owner and parent company of the Applicant. I have held this position since the end of August 2020.
- 2. I am duly authorised by the Applicant to make this affidavit on its behalf and in support of its application for contempt of court proceedings against Mr Ben Newman¹ who is the proposed ninth defendant (the "**Respondent**") for breaching the Order of Sir Anthony Mann of 26 May 2023 (the "**Order**") (pages 5-20 of NT2 (defined in paragraph 4 below)).
- 3. Unless stated otherwise, the facts and matters set out in this affidavit are within my knowledge and are true. Where any facts or matters are not within my own knowledge, the source of the information is identified, and those facts and matters are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.
- 4. There is now shown to me marked exhibit "NT2" a bundle of true copy documents to which I refer in the course of this affidavit. Save where stated otherwise, references below to page numbers are to the pages of exhibit "NT2".
- 5. There is now also shown to me:
- 5.1 A copy of the bundle used at the hearing of the Applicant's application dated 22 May 2023 (the "**Injunction Application**") for an Order prohibiting 1 named defendant and 8 unnamed defendants (persons unknown) from entering, or causing objects to enter, various areas of the Epsom Racecourse and from intentionally endangering any

¹ Surrey Police have confirmed Mr Newman resides at 5 Wintergreen Court, Homerton High Street, Hackney, London, E9 6FU.

person at the Epsom Racecourse. References to pages within the Injunction Application bundle are marked in the following format: "**IA page x**".

- 5.2 A copy of the Applicant's authorities bundle used at the Injunction Application.
- For completeness, I enclose the Applicant's skeleton argument for the Injunction Application at pages 21-36 of NT2.
- Unless otherwise stated I adopt the definitions in the Order, thereafter in my first Witness Statement dated 22 May 2023.

Background

- 8. The background to this matter has been set out extensively in the first witness statements of:
- 8.1 myself (IA pages 30-162);
- 8.2 Amy Starkey, Managing Director of the Applicant (IA pages 163-187);
- Dickon White, Aintree and North-West Regional Director for the Applicant (IA pages 188-221);
- 8.4 Simon Knapp, Senior Veterinary Surgeon for London Region Races at the Applicant (IA pages 222-226); and
- 8.5 Julian Diaz-Rainey of the Applicant's solicitor (IA pages 227-252).
- 9. In summary, the Applicant owns Epsom Racecourse, which hosts the Epsom Derby Festival, a horse-racing festival set across 2 days each year including the prestigious race the Epsom Derby on the Saturday. In response to a threat from the group Animal Rising to disrupt the Derby Festival, as it had done at the Grand National, the Applicant issued proceedings against the First to Eighth Defendants, applying concurrently for an interim order to prohibit the Derby Festival from being disrupted.

The Order

- 10. The Injunction Application was successful and resulted in the provision of the Order.
- 11. The Order (as is set out in its terms) ordered that the First Defendant as named, plus certain categories of 'persons unknown' defendants (the "**Persons Unknown**

Defendants") listed on the Order, were prohibited from acts listed at paragraph 2 of the Order.

- 12. As I explain further below, the Respondent fell within the definitions of both the Second and Sixth Defendants being, respectively:
- 12.1 "Persons unknown entering the area described below as the "Race Track" on the day of a "Racing Fixture" except at "Crossing Points" and with "Authorisation", as described below; and
- 12.2 Persons unknown intentionally obstructing the "Horse Races", as described below".
- 13. As I explain further below, for the purposes of this application, the relevant prohibited acts within paragraph 2 of the Order are at sub-paragraphs 1 and 6 which are:
- 13.1 *"(1) Enter the Race Track, except at authorised Crossing Points*; and
- 13.2 (6) Intentionally obstruct the Horse Races.
- 14. Per paragraph 1 of the Order, the following are the relevant definitions for the purposes of interpreting the Order in relation to this application:
- 14.1 The "Race Track" means the area between the crowd barriers on either side of the stretch of land marked with a yellow dotted line, starting at point (C) and ending at point (D) on the Plan.
- 14.2 "Racing Fixture" means an Authorised Meeting within the meaning of s. 14 of the Act, being a race meeting held on up to 16 days in any one year at the Epsom Racecourse, including Oaks Day and Derby Day.
- 14.3 The "Crossing Points" mean the 10 points at which members of the public may cross from one side of the Race Track to another with Authorisation, marked in blue on the Plan.
- 14.4 "Authorisation" means authorisation given to any member of the public to be in a particular area at the Epsom Racecourse at a particular time, whether by a steward, police officer, and/or any agent of Jockey Club Racecourses Limited.
- 14.5 "Horse Races" means any and all horse races taking place on the day of a Racing Fixture.

- 14.6 "Epsom Racecourses" means the area where the Claimant holds Racing Fixtures, within the red line marked on the Plan.
- 14.7 The "Plan" means the aerial photographs of the Epsom Racecourse and the markings thereupon, appended to the Order.
- 15. The Order contained a penal notice as follows:

IF YOU THE WITHIN NAMED DEFENDANTS OR ANY OF YOU DISOBEY THIS ORDER OR INSTRUCT OR ENCOURAGE OTHERS TO BREACH THIS ORDER YOU MAY BE HELD TO BE IN CONTEMPT OF COURT AND MAY BE IMPRISONED, FINED, [OR] HAVE YOUR ASSETS SEIZED

ANY OTHER PERSON WHO KNOWS OF THIS ORDER AND DOES ANYTHING WHICH HELPS OR PERMITS THE DEFENDANTS TO BREACH THE TERMS OF THIS ORDER MAY ALSO BE HELD IN CONTEMPT OF COURT AND MAY BE IMPRISONED, FINED OR HAVE THEIR ASSETS SEIZED

Service of the Claim Documents and Order

- 16. Paragraph 3 of the Order sets out the steps that the Claimant had to undertake to effect service of the Claim Documents on the Persons Unknown Defendants. For ease of reference these steps were:
- 16.1 Posting digital copies of the Claim Documents on its website and Facebook page;
- 16.2 Affixing sealed copies of the Claim Documents in transparent containers in at least 2 conspicuous locations at public entrances to the Epsom Racecourse; and
- 16.3 Providing digital copies to the organisation Animal Rising by email.

Posting a digital copy of the Claim Documents on its website and Facebook page

17. On 22 May 2023 at 16:10 the Applicant posted the Claim Documents to both the website relating to Epsom Downs (i.e., www.thejockeyclub.co.uk/epsom) and its own website (i.e., www.thejockeyclub.co.uk). I enclose at pages 37-41 of NT2 screenshots of both websites along with a screenshot of the displayed Claim Documents at page 41 of NT2.

Affixing sealed copies of the Claim Documents in transparent containers in at least 2 conspicuous locations at public entrances to the Epsom Racecourse

18. Enclosed at pages 42-47 of NT2 are six photographs with details of their metadata taken between 15:48 and 16:04 on 22 May 2023. They show two envelopes contained the Claim Documents at each of the two public entrances to the Epsom Downs Racecourse. This information has been provided to me by Simon Durrant, the General Manager of Kempton Park Racecourse.

Providing digital copies to the organisation Animal Rising by email

- 19. On 22 May 2023 at 15:50, the Applicant's solicitors emailed a digital copy of the Claim Documents to the First Defendant, who is the Co-Founder of Animal Rising. I enclose this email at page 48 of NT2, as well as confirmation that the First Respondent downloaded the documents attached to the aforementioned email at 17:24 on 22 May 2023 at page 49 of NT2.
- 20. Paragraph 4 of the Order confirmed that the Order would be served personally by the Claimant on the First Defendant. My understanding is that this was done by Stephen Williams a service agent (process server) in the employ of Conflicts International Limited on the instruction of the Applicant. I refer the court to enclosure 9 of NT2 at pages 50-51 being the witness statement of Mr Williams confirming that personal service of the Order on the First Defendant was achieved on 30 May 2023 at 5:16pm.
- 21. Paragraph 5 of the Order sets out the steps that the Claimant had to undertake to effect good service of the Order on the Persons Unknown Defendants where personal service was dispensed with. For ease of reference these steps were:
- 21.1 Posting the Order at 50-metre intervals along the part of the perimeter of the Race Track marked with an orange line on the Plan;
- 21.2 Posting a digital copy of the Order on its website and Facebook page; and
- 21.3 Providing digital copies to the organisation Animal Rising by email.

Posting the Order at 50-metre intervals along that part of the perimeter of the Race Track marked with an orange line on the Plan

- 22. Between 10am and 1pm on Sunday 28 May 2023 copies of the Order were displayed at 50 metre intervals around the perimeter of the Race Track per the orange line on the Plan attached to the Order. This was confirmed to me by Simon Durrant, who is the General Manager of Kempton Racecourse and who was in charge of the operation to display the Order. Enclosure 10 of NT2 at pages 52-105 shows a series of images showing the locations of the copies of the Order, including as attached to the front gate. Mr Durrant also confirmed that the locations would be periodically checked in the lead up to the Derby Festival.
- 23. Mr Durrant has confirmed to me that the locations of the displayed Order were checked periodically throughout the week leading up to the Derby Festival and on each of the two days (Friday 2nd and Saturday 3rd June). He has confirmed that on the occasion of each check, the displayed copies of the Order remained in place.

Posting a digital copy of the Order on its website and Facebook page

- 24. On 28 May 2023 the Applicant posted the Order to both its own website (i.e., <u>www.thejockeyclub.co.uk</u>) at 11:20am and the website relating to Epsom (i.e., <u>www.thejockeyclub.co.uk/epsom</u>) at 11:21am. I enclose at pages 106-118 of NT2 screenshots of both websites along with a screenshot of the displayed Order.
- 25. On 28 May 2023 at 11:45am the Applicant posted confirmation that it had obtained the Order on its official Facebook page together with links to the relevant news page of the Applicant's website and the webpage containing the Order. I enclose at page 119 of NT2 a screenshot of the Facebook post.
- 26. Although not directed to by the Court, the Applicant also posted confirmation of the Order on its Twitter account, with links to its website, on 26 May 2023 at 4:09pm. I enclose at page 120 of NT2 a screenshot of the relevant twitter post.

Providing digital copies to the organisation Animal Rising by email.

27. On 28 May 2023 at 13:14 the Applicant's solicitors emailed a digital copy of the Order to the First Defendant, who is the Co-Founder of Animal Rising, requesting that he bring it to the attention of all members of Animal Rising. I enclose this email at pages 121-126 of NT2, including the full email chain for context and completeness.

Wide-spread publication of the Order

28. The terms of the Order notwithstanding, the fact of the Applicant securing the Order received widespread press attention. I enclose at pages of NT2 articles from the BBC, the Guardian, Sky Sports, the Telegraph, the Express and the Daily Mail.

The Respondent's radio interview

29. The Respondent told BBC Radio Surrey on 2 June 2023 that, in spite of the Order, individuals were planning to go onto the track the next day and obstruct the race, as he went on to do. I attach a transcript of the interview at pages 164-165 of NT2 and an audio file, Recording 1 of NT3 (defined in paragraph 41.1 below) (e-filed and enclosed on USB with the hard copies for service). This shows that the Respondent had actual knowledge of the Order prior to the Derby Festival.

The Derby Festival

30. The Derby Festival took place on Friday 2 and Saturday 3 June 2023. It was broadcast live on ITV and received mainstream and sports media press attention and

much social media commentary. It was one of the leading sports stories all weekend, particularly because of the Order, Animal Rights' threats, and it being Frankie Dettori's final races at the Derby Festival.

- 31. It was publicised that there were a number of arrests prior to the 2023 Derby on 3June 2023. See for example the article from the Irish Times at pages 166-171 of NT2.
- 32. Per paragraph 58 of my first witness statement (IA page 58) the Applicant had in force additional security measures for the Derby Festival. Surrey Police also had a significantly increased presence at the Derby Festival and a significant operation prior to it.
- 33. There has been widespread publication of the Respondent entering the Race Track, which I address in further detail below.

The Respondent

The Respondent's disruption of the Grand National at Aintree

- 34. I enclose at pages 172-175 of NT2 an article from the Daily Mirror dated 11 April 2023 entitled '*Grand National 2023: 'Animal Rising' plead for people to join protest amid plan to sabotage race.*' It includes a quote attributed to the Respondent, who is described as an 'Animal Rising spokesman', that amongst other things states "*We're inviting everyone to come down at 9:30am on the 15th to Aintree racecourse and hope to stop the race...Come on down if you'd like to know more. Protest is a fairly common thing in a democracy and there's nothing new about it. We'll be using our bodies and that's all there is to it really."*
- 35. I enclose at pages 176-178 of NT2 a copy of the witness statement of Mr Lewys H Salisbury, the Police Constable, who apprehended the Respondent on 15 April 2023, the day of the Grand National.
- 36. Mr Salisbury confirms that, "at around 16:45 hours large numbers of people were sighted in the woods near jump 8 and 7. I sighted multiple people wearing pink t shirts and holding extendable ladders, attempting to scale the outer and inner fences of the racecourse". Further he states that "these people were attempting entry to the racecourse to conduct a protest in order the disrupt or delay the Grand National Race".

- 37. In describing the disrupting and dangerous actions of the Respondent, Mr Salisbury states he "sighted a male wearing a pink t shirt scale the green inner fence at incredible speed....... I crossed the race track towards him and as he negotiated the top of the fence, he rips the front of his t shirt, lands on the floor and runs away from me to my left, towards jump 8 "CANAL TURN"...... I continued to chase the male and I saw him reach into his pocket and pour something into his hands and he dropped a white lid in the process. I believed that he had super glue and was going to attempt to glue himself onto or around the CANAL TURN jump", see page 177 of NT2. Shortly after the Respondent was apprehended by Mr Salisbury, the Respondent was cautioned and arrested.
- 38. Merseyside Police have confirmed in an email to the Claimant's solicitor that Mr Newman had police bail conditions put upon him, which were to not enter Merseyside, nor attend any National sporting events and not to contact co-accused.²

The Respondent's disruption elsewhere

- 39. I enclose at pages 179-181 of NT2 an article from Farmers Weekly that indicates the Respondent was charged with a public order offence in May 2021 following disruption at a McDonald's restaurant.
- 40. I enclose at pages 182-184 of NT2 an article from Planet Radio that indicates that the Respondent occupied a private farm in May 2023.

The Respondent's disruption at the Epsom Derby

- 41. There is now shown to me marked '**NT3**' a USB memory stick containing the following digital files:
- 41.1 BBC Radio Surrey Interview with the Respondent on 2 June 2023 ("**Recording 1**");
- 41.2 A side-by-side video showing the start and end of the 2023 Derby ("**Recording 2**");
- 41.3 Police Officer body worn video footage showing the removal of the Respondent from the Racecourse ("**Recording 3**");
- 41.4 Video obtained from the Twitter account of Animal Rising showing the removal of the Respondent from the Racecourse ("**Recording 4**"); and
- 41.5 Video obtained from the Daily Mail showing the removal of the Respondent from the Racecourse ("**Recording 5**").

² Merseyside Police have said that National sporting events did not cover the Derby; however, the Claimant does not agree with Merseyside Police's definition, and considers that the Derby is unequivocally a National sporting event.
- 42. On 2 June 2023 the Respondent was interviewed on BBC Radio Surrey. He was asked "There is an injunction to prevent a repeat of what happened at the Grand National. So are your plans still going to go ahead?". The Respondent replied "Yes, so after the Grand, yes, so after the Grand National, people left crying and the whole country was shocked and really they lost the argument and now they've brought out some private laws, which are quite concerning, the use of injunctions, but yes the protest will still be going ahead, yes." He also added, "some people will be, putting their bodies, getting on the tracks and disrupting the race and y'know maybe delaying it for a bit..." and when asked whether the action would be happening during or in between races, he said "no-one's going to be running in front of horses who are running no." The transcript is enclosed at pages 164-165 of NT2.
- 43. Immediately prior to the start of the 2023 Derby, I was standing on a balcony of a top floor box on the Queen Elizabeth II Stand. I could see the start of the race clearly from there by looking across the course to the start and I was using binoculars to do so. I observed the horses start the race and cover the first few yards. I would not be able to say how far they were into the race exactly, when my attention was taken by a movement that I saw out of the corner of my eye on the home straight just below the Queen Elizabeth II stand, but slightly to my left around the half furlong to go (i.e., 100m from the finish) marker sign. A male ran onto the track, into the middle and was swiftly apprehended by police and stewards. I observed his passage onto the Race Track, into the middle and he started to run down the hill towards the finish but his progress was soon curtailed. He was swiftly removed from the track and carried back into the area behind the rails, from where he had come.
- 44. I refer the court to Recording 2 in NT3, a side-by-side video showing the start of the 2023 Derby on the left-hand side, with video of the final straight on the right-hand side. As the video shows, at around 13:32:23:119 the gates are opened, and the horses begin their race. At around 13:32:25:02 the Respondent can be seen on the right-hand screen entering the Race Track. At approximately 13:32:38:18 the Respondent is apprehended by police and security staff in the middle of the Race Track. At this point, the horses are around the 11 furlong marker, which is 1 furlong (approximately 200 metres) into the race. The Respondent is finally removed from the Race Track at approximately 13:32:49:08, some 26 seconds or so after the 2023 Derby had begun. At this point, the horses have reached the top of the Hill, which is approximately 1000 metres from the start and 1400 metres from the finish line.

- 45. I refer the court to enclosure 29 of NT2 at pages 185-189 showing the Respondent on the Race Track and being removed from it.
- 46. I refer the court to enclosure 30 of NT2 at pages 190-192 being the witness statement of PC Katherine Stevens dated 7 June 2023. PC Stevens was on duty at the Derby Festival. She states, inter alia:
- 46.1 "At approximately 1333 HRS I have been stood between the main public barrier and the track lining barrier...
- 46.2 Members of public began approaching the public barrier so they could get a better view of the race track. The loud speaker has then announced that the main race has started. I was not aware of the exact timing that the race had started but the cheering of the public had started and the race was being shown on large screens in the LONSDALE Enclosure so it was clear that the race had started...
- 46.3 I was closely watching members of public and facing away from the race track in order to get the best view of persons attempting to climb over the barrier. I have then heard shouting of "ON TRACK". As I have turned round I have seen a male I now know to be a Ben NEWMAN running from the Grandstand side of the race track into the middle of the live racing track. I was incredibly concerned as the main Derby race had started and horses would be coming towards NEWMAN at high speeds. I was aware that the main Derby race is a longer race so I knew that I had enough time to get onto the track and attempt to get NEWMAN off the track for his safety. He seemed to be smiling as he ran and I do not believe he had any care for his own safety, the horses who would be coming towards him or the jockeys riding the horses. I have seen that he has attempted to dodge a steward and has successfully done this and kept running. It was clear that NEWMAN was not aware of his own personal safety and the risk he was putting on those trying to get him off the course. Due to a concern for his safety I have run onto the main track during the live track. I have seen my colleague PC 40632 HODGKINS standing in the middle of the track and have seen him manage to rugby tackle NEWMAN to the ground where a race course steward has joined him. This was during the live race and I believe it was near the 1/2 furlong marker. I have immediately run towards my colleagues PC 40632 HODGKINS, the steward and NEWMAN who was detained on the floor. I have started shouting "GET HIM OFF THE TRACK. HORSES ARE COMING" as I could hear my colleague PC 40632 HODGKINS stating he was going to handcuff NEWMAN. I had real concerns for the safety of everyone dealing with NEWMAN and the risk he had put on himself, my colleagues and the racecourse steward.
- 46.4 I have then assisted with carrying NEWMAN off towards the LONDSDALE Enclosure side as I knew that prisoner transport vans had been sat up on standby at the entrance to the enclosure...
- 46.5 I have been holding NEWMAN's leg as he had refused to walk off the track by himself. He was a heavy weight and had gone all floppy. We have carried NEWMAN towards a gate exit through the public into the LONSDALE Enclosure. Members of the public were shouting and swearing at NEWMAN and we managed to clear a way through to keep NEWMAN safe from the public. The stewards attempted to help us with this. I have been saying "GET BACK GET BACK" to the public who have been booing. During this I have heard the racehorses come galloping past and they were clearly at maximum speed due to being near the finish line. We have walked NEWMAN towards the prisoner transport unit and stood him next to the van in a sterile area. NEWMAN

has tried to get onto the van and I have asked him to stay outside until we had searched him. I had concerns that he may have items on him which may be used for locking on or glue items for sticking himself to the van. NEWMAN has given me his details and also to PC 2511 AINSWORTH."

- 47. I refer the court to enclosure 31 of NT2 at pages 193-195 being the witness statement of PC Mark Hodgkins dated 3 June 2023. PC Hodgkins was also on duty at the Derby Festival and states, inter alia:
- 47.1 "At approximately 13:35 hours the main derby [sic] race (THE BETFRED DERBY) started and the horses began racing down the back end of the track. I knew this due to the big screen opposite the GRANDSTAND showing the race live and the crowd noise from people cheering.
- 47.2 A short while into the race I could hear a lot of the crowd shouting and booing. I looked down the track towards 'TATTENHAM CORNER' (last corner before the home straight to the finish line) and could see a male (NEWMAN) in the middle of the track running down towards the finish line being chased by a steward.
- 47.3 I now know this male to be BEN NEWMAN (32). NEWMAN was running away from stewards down the track towards the finish line in front of the main 'GRAN[D]STAND' and the 'TATTE[N]HAM MQ' area. Due to the race being on and the risk of horses coming down the home straight at any moment I decided to enter the race track to remove NEWMAN. I ran towards NEWMAN who was still running away from stewards and I tackled him to the floor to prevent his escape.
- 47.4 At 13:36 hours I said to NEWMAN 'YOU ARE UNDER ARREST ON SUSPICION OF CAUSING A PUBLIC NUISANCE'. A colleague has then joined and shouted 'QUICK WE NEED TO GET OFF THE TRACK THE HORSES ARE COMING'. Along with other police colleagues we have dragged NEWMAN off of the track. Whilst at the side of the track NEWMAN was restrained on the floor. I have then applied handcuffs to him in the rear stack position, double locking and checking for tightness.
- 47.5 We kept NEWMAN restrained at the side of the race track on the grass till all of the horses raced passed us towards the finish line.
- 47.6 It was evident to me that NEWMAN's actions created a serious risk to the horses, the jockeys, myself and other colleagues working at the event who had to enter the live race track. NEWMAN's actions also caused significant distress and annoyance to the thousands of members of the public in attendance at the event. When I had tackled NEWMAN to the floor and my female colleague shouted at me that the horses were travelling down the home straight we were on I suddenly realised the severity of the situation. I was in genuine fear for my safety at the thought of 10+ horses travelling directly towards us (near the finish line) at around 40 mph. I was really scared that I was going to be seriously injured by the on coming horse[s], I [am] extr[e]mely grateful that other officers arrived so we were able to get NEWMAN off of the track before the horses came racing down."
- 48. The video evidence, photographic evidence and statements from the attending police officers speak for themselves. It is clear that the Respondent's actions were deliberate and dangerous.

The Respondent's subsequent charge, refusal of application for bail and guilty plea

- 49. The Respondent was arrested immediately after being apprehended by the Police. He was subsequently interviewed, charged, and remanded to prison. His charge sheet (MG04) enclosed at pages 196-197 of NT2 states the Respondent's charge:
- 49.1 "(1) Intentionally / recklessly cause a public nuisance 2023/06/03 -- on 03/06/2023 at Epsom in the county of surrey, without reasonable excuse, did an act, namely entered a horse racing track intending to cause disruption to the racing programme, and that created a risk or caused serious harm by serious annoyance to the public or a section of the public intending or being reckless that it would have such a consequence.
- 49.2 'Contrary to section 78(1) and (4) of the Police, Crime, Sentencing and Courts Act 2022."
- 50. At his initial hearing in front of magistrates at Guildford Magistrates Court on 5 June 2023 the Respondent requested a Crown Court trial and indicated an intention to plead not guilty. He was refused bail and remanded to prison.
- 51. On 7 July 2023 the Respondent indicated a guilty plea and was given a sentence including 18 weeks imprisonment (suspended for 2 years), 80 hours of unpaid work, and a costs order of £1,356.

The Respondent's actions constituted a breach of the Order

The Respondent as party to the Order

- 52. The Order binds 7 categories of '*persons unknown*' defendants. Anyone who comes within one or more of these categories is bound by the terms of the Order and must not breach it.
- 53. By entering the Race Track, the Respondent fell within the definition of the Second, and Sixth Defendants being:
- 53.1 "Persons unknown entering the area described below as the "Race Track" on the day of a "Racing Fixture" except at "Crossing Points" and with "Authorisation", as described below"; and
- 53.2 "Persons unknown intentionally obstructing the "Horse Races", as described below."
- 54. I understand from the Claimant's solicitors that the Respondent is already a party to the proceedings (albeit not yet identified by name), as he is a member of the categories of persons identified as the Second or Sixth Defendants. In the interests of

transparency, the Applicant has made a concurrent application to name the Respondent in proceedings.

The Respondent's breach of the Order

- 55. Paragraph 2 of the Order states amongst other things that on Derby Day on 3 June 2023 the Defendants must not:
- 55.1 "(1) Enter the Race Track, except at authorised Crossing Points; or
- 55.2 (6) Intentionally obstruct the Horse Races.
- 56. During the 2023 Derby, the Respondent entered the Race Track at a place that was not at an authorised Crossing Point. This is clearly evidenced by the photographic, video, and press evidence referenced above. Indeed, the Respondent has accepted that he entered the Race Track by entering a guilty plea to the offence of public nuisance. As such, the Respondent breached paragraph 2, sub-paragraph (1) of the Order.
- 57. Further, by entering the Race Track around the time of the 2023 Derby, it is clear that there was an intention by the Respondent to obstruct the Horse Races (the definition of which includes the 2023 Derby) and therefore he has also breached paragraph 2, sub-paragraph (6) of the Order. There is no other explanation for the actions of the Respondent. Indeed, the stated intention of Animal Rising around the Derby Festival was to disrupt (see paragraphs 10-12 of my first witness statement (IA pages 32-33)) and the Respondent confirmed that disruptive action would be taken in the BBC Surrey radio interview as noted above.
- 57.1 The geography of the Race Track means that it is not always possible to see the horses from all places (see pages 5-7 of my witness statement at IA pages 34-36). This works two ways in that the jockeys cannot see if anyone is on the Race Track at all points. This makes the actions of the Respondent particularly dangerous. Around 110 years ago Emily Davison died when she entered the Race Track at Tattenham Corner, which is a blind corner, (see page 7 of my witness statement at IA page 36).
- 57.2 It took the actions of at least 3 police officers and 3 stewards to remove the Respondent from the Race Track. If any of them had suffered an injury or if they had not been able to apprehend the Respondent promptly, then there was a real chance that people would have been on the course as the horses approached them. This could have led to loss of life.

- 57.3 Should further people (for example, members of the public) have entered the Race Track, either to assist the stewards and the Police, or in support of the Respondent then the danger to life would have been greater.
- 57.4 PC Hodgkinson has described that the Respondent's actions created a serious risk to the horses, the jockeys, himself and other colleagues working at the event who had to enter the live Race Track. He also describes that he was in genuine fear for his safety and was scared that he was going to be seriously injured, see page 195 of NT2.
- 57.5 PC Stevens has stated that she does not believe that the Respondent had any concern for his own safety, the safety of the horses and jockeys, or the safety of those who were trying to remove him off the Racecourse. PC Stevens was concerned for the safety of the individuals who were dealing with the Respondent and the high risk situation that the Respondent had created, see page 191 of NT2.
- 58. The Respondent's actions speak for themselves. They were undoubtedly dangerous. As is set out above, the Respondent had previously entered the track at the Grand National at Aintree. He therefore must have known of the dangers of doing so at the Epsom Derby.

Additional requirements of CPR 81.4 (2) not already addressed

- 59. I confirm the following, which are the outstanding requirements of CPR 81.4 (2) that have not already been addressed in this affidavit:
- 59.1 The Respondent has the right to be legally represented in the contempt proceedings;
- 59.2 The Respondent is entitled to a reasonable opportunity to obtain legal representation and to apply for legal aid which may be available without any means test;
- 59.3 The Respondent may be entitled to the services of an interpreter;
- 59.4 The Respondent is entitled to a reasonable time to prepare for the hearing;
- 59.5 The Respondent is entitled but not obliged to give written and oral evidence in their defence;
- 59.6 The Respondent has the right to remain silent and to decline to answer any question the answer to which may incriminate the Respondent;

148

- 59.7 The Court may proceed in the Respondent's absence if they do not attend but (whether or not they attend) will only find the Respondent in contempt if satisfied beyond reasonable doubt of the facts constituting contempt and that they do constitute contempt;
- 59.8 If the Court is satisfied that the Respondent has committed a contempt, the Court may punish the Respondent by a fine, imprisonment, confiscation of assets or other punishment under the law;
- 59.9 If the Respondent admits the contempt and wishes to apologise to the Court, that is likely to reduce the seriousness of any punishment by the Court;
- 59.10 The Court's findings will be provided in writing as soon as practicable after the hearing; and
- 59.11 The Court will sit in public, unless and to the extent that the Court orders otherwise, and that its findings will be made public.

Statement of Truth

I believe that the facts stated in this affidavit are true. I understand that proceedings for contempt of court may be brought against anyone who makes, or causes to be made, a false statement in a document verified by a statement of truth without an honest belief in its truth.

Signed: Mr. Delies

NEVIN TRUESDALE

Sworn at:	107	(640	KNALACC	
	1 AUG			
Before me:	/			
Signed:	·····		•••••	
Name:	Ba	Lond	missioner for Oat se, 107 Leadenhai lon EC3A 4AF England vard Gardiner)	hs 11 S

STARBI, CCNARN FTJ

treet.

EXHIBIT NT2

Statement of Truth

I believe that the facts stated in this affidavit are true. I understand that proceedings for contempt of court may be brought against anyone who makes, or causes to be made, a false statement in a document verified by a statement of truth without an honest belief in its truth.

Signed

NEVIN TRUESDALE

Dated:

Sworn at

On

Before me:

Signed.....

Index NT2 (Injunction Application Bundle included separately)

Tab	Evidence	Date	Page(s)
1.	Order of Sir Anthony Mann (the "Order")	26 May 2023	5-20
2.	Applicant's skeleton argument for Injunction Application	25 May 2023	21-36
3.	Screenshot of a link to the Claim Documents on the Epsom Downs website	N/A	37-38
4.	Screenshot of a link to the Claim Documents on the Jockey Club website	N/A	39-40
5.	Screenshot of the displayed Claim Documents on the Jockey Club website	N/A	41
6.	Six photographs of two envelopes containing the Claim Documents	22 May 2023	42-47
7.	Email to First Defendant, attaching Claim Documents	22 May 2023	48
8.	Confirmation that the First Defendant downloaded the Claim Documents attached to Iona Wilson's email of 22 May 2023	22 May 2023	49
9.	First Witness Statement of Stephen Williams	24 May 2023	50-51
10.	Images 1 to 55 displaying the Order at the Racecourse	N/A	52-105
11.	Screenshot of a link to the Order on the Jockey Club website	N/A	106-107
12.	Screenshot of a link to the Order on the Epsom Downs website	N/A	108-117
13.	Screenshot of the displayed Order on the Jockey Club website	N/A	118
14.	Screenshot of Order on Facebook by Jockey Club	28 May 2023	119
15.	Screenshot of Order on Twitter by Jockey Club	26 May 2023	120
16.	Email to First Defendant, attaching the Order	28 May 2023 at 13:14	121-126

Index NT2 (Injunction Application Bundle included separately)

Tab	Evidence	Date	Page(s)
17.	Article from BBC – Epsom Derby: Jockey Club wins injunction to stop protests	26 May 2023	127-132
18.	Article from The Guardian – Talking Horses: Jockey Club granted Derby injunction against Animal Rising	26 May 2023	133-137
19.	Sky Sports - The Jockey Club granted High Court injunction against Animal Rising	26 May 2023	138-144
20.	Article from the Telegraph – Animal Rising 'hanging themselves in public', says Jockey Club chief after 'bad day for protesters'	4 June 2023	145-152
21.	Article from the Express – Animal Rising eco-mob plot to sabotage Saturday's Epsom Derby exposed	31 May 2023	153-159
22.	Article from the Daily Mail – Militant animal rights activists plotting to sabotage the Epsom Derby	23 May 2023	160-163
23.	Transcript of BBC Radio Surrey Interview with Ben Newman	2 June 2023	164-165
24.	Article from Irish Times – Police Arrest 19 Animal Rights Activists ahead of Epsom Derby	3 June 2023	166-171
25.	Article from the Daily Mirror - 'Grand National 2023: 'Animal Rising' plead for people to join protest amid plan to sabotage race.'	11 April 2023	172-175
26.	Witness Statement of Mr Lewys H Salisbury	16 April 2023	176-178
27.	Article from Farmers Weekly website following McDonald's protest	24 May 2023	179-181
28.	Article from Planet Radio highlighting Mr Newman occupied a private farm in May	20 May 2023	182-184
29.	Images 1 to 5 of the Respondent on the Racetrack	3 June 2023	185-189
30.	Witness Statement of PC Katherine Stevens	7 June 2023	190-192

Index NT2 (Injunction Application Bundle included separately)

Tab	Evidence	Date	Page(s)
31.	Witness Statement of PC Mark Hodgkins	3 June 2023	193-195
32.	Respondent's Charge Sheet	3 June 2023	196-197

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE THE BUSINESS AND PROPERTY COURTS OF ENGLAND AND WALES CHANCERY DIVISION Case No. [BL-2023-000713]



Sir Anthony Mann, sitting as a Judge of the High Court 26 May 2023

BETWEEN:

JOCKEY CLUB RACECOURSES LIMITED

Claiman A licant

and

(1) DANIEL FRANK PETER KIDBY (2) PERSONS UNKNOWN ENTERING THE AREA DESCRIBED BELOW AS THE "RACE TRACK" ON THE DAY OF A "RACING FIXTURE", EXCEPT AT "CROSSING POINTS" WITH "AUTHORISATION", AS **DESCRIBED BELOW** (3) PERSONS UNKNOWN ENTERING AND/OR REMAINING ON ANY "CROSSING POINTS" WITHOUT "AUTHORISATION" ON THE DAY OF A "RACING FIXTURE", AS DESCRIBED BELOW (4) PERSONS UNKNOWN ENTERING THE AREA DESCRIBED BELOW AS THE "PARADE RING" WITHOUT "AUTHORISATION" ON THE DAY OF A "RACING FIXTURE", AS DESCRIBED BELOW (5) PERSONS UNKNOWN ENTERING AND/OR REMAINING ON ANY PART OF THE AREAS DESCRIBED BELOW AS THE "HORSES' ROUTE TO THE PARADE RING" AND/OR THE "HORSES' ROUTE TO THE RACE TRACK" WITHOUT "AUTHORISATION" ON THE DAY OF A "RACING FIXTURE", AS DESCRIBED BELOW (6) PERSONS UNKNOWN INTENTIONALLY OBSTRUCTING THE "HORSE RACES", AS DESCRIBED BELOW (7) PERSONS UNKNOWN INTENTIONALLY CAUSING ANY OBJECT TO ENTER ONTO AND/OR REMAIN ON THE "RACE TRACK" WITHOUT "AUTHORISATION" ON THE DAY OF A "RACING FIXTURE", AS DESCRIBED BELOW (8) PERSONS UNKNOWN INTENTIONALLY ENDANGERING ANY PERSON AT THE LOCATION DESCRIBED BELOW AS THE "EPSOM RACECOURSE" ON THE DAY OF A "RACING FIXTURE", AS **DESCRIBED BELOW**

1

Defendants es ondents

رمی 154

ORDER FOR AN INJUNCTION

PENAL NOTICE

IF YOU THE WITHIN NAMED DEFENDANTS OR ANY OF YOU DISOBEY THIS ORDER OR INSTRUCT OR ENCOURAGE OTHERS TO BREACH THIS ORDER YOU MAY BE HELD TO BE IN CONTEMPT OF COURT AND MAY BE IMPRISONED, FINED, HAVE YOUR ASSETS SEIZED

ANY OTHER PERSON WHO KNOWS OF THIS ORDER AND DOES ANYTHING WHICH HELPS OR PERMITS THE DEFENDANTS TO BREACH THE TERMS OF THIS ORDER MAY ALSO BE HELD IN CONTEMPT OF COURT AND MAY BE IMPRISONED, FINED OR HAVE THEIR ASSETS SEIZED

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO THE DEFENDANTS

This Order prohibits you from doing the acts set out in this Order. You should read it very carefully. You are advised to consult a solicitor as soon as possible. You have the right to ask the Court to vary or discharge this Order.

UPON the Claimant's application by application notice dated 22 May 2023 for an interim injunction ("**the Application**").

AND UPON the court reading the Application and the Witness Statements listed in Schedule 1 to this Order.

AND UPON the Court hearing Leading Counsel for the Claimant.

IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. For the purpose of this Order:
 - (1) The "Act" means the Epsom and Walton Downs Regulation Act 1984.
 - (2) The "**Byelaws**" means the Byelaws made by The Epsom & Walton Downs Conservators on 18 October 2005, by virtue of s. 11 of the Act.

(3) The "**Plan**" means the the aerial photographs of the Epsom Racecourse and the markings thereupon, appended to this [draft] Order.

1É

- (4) The "**Epsom Racecourse**" means the area where the Claimant holds Racing Fixtures, within the red line marked on the Plan.
- (5) **"Racing Fixture"** means an Authorised Meeting within the meaning of s. 14 of the Act, being a race meeting held on up to 16 days in any one year at the Epsom Racecourse, including Oaks Day and Derby Day.
- (6) **"Horse Races"** means any and all horse races taking place on the day of a Racing Fixture.
- (7) The "Race Track" means the area between the crowd barriers on either side of the stretch of land marked with a yellow dotted line, starting at point (C) and ending at point (D) on the Plan.
- (8) The "Crossing Points" mean the 10 points at which members of the public may cross from one side of the Race Track to another with Authorisation, marked in blue on the Plan.
- (9) "Authorisation" means authorisation given to any member of the public to be in a particular area at the Epsom Racecourse at a particular time, whether by a steward, police officer, and/or any agent of Jockey Club Racecourses Limited.
- (10) The "**Stables**" means the buildings and area where the horses are accommodated before the races, marked at point (A) on the Plan.
- (11) The "**Parade Ring**" means the area where horses parade ahead of the races, marked at point (B) on the Plan.
- (12) The "Horse & Jockey Entrance" means the point at which horses and jockeys enter the Race Track, marked at point (C) on the Plan.
- (13) The "Horses' Route to the Parade Ring" means the pathway by which the horses are led from the Stables to the Parade Ring, marked with a yellow dotted line, starting at point (A) and ending at point (B) on the Plan.
- (14) The "Horses' Route to the Race Track" means the route which the horses take from the Parade Ring to the Race Track, marked with a yellow dotted line, starting at point (B) and ending at point (C) on the Plan.

156

(15) The "**Claim Documents**" means the Application Notice, the Witness Statements listed at Schedule 1 to this Order, and the Claim Form.

Injunction in force

- 2. Until judgment or further order, on the day of any Racing Fixture at the Epsom Racecourse (which, for the avoidance of doubt, includes Oaks Day on 2 June 2023 and Derby Day on 3 June 2023), the Respondents must not:
 - (1) Enter the Race Track, except at authorised Crossing Points;
 - (2) Enter and/or remain on any Crossing Points without Authorisation;
 - (3) Enter the Parade Ring without Authorisation;
 - (4) Enter and/or remain on any part of the Horses' Route to the Parade Ring, without Authorisation;
 - (5) Enter and/or remain on any part of the Horses' Route to the Race Track, without Authorisation;
 - (6) Intentionally obstruct the Horse Races;
 - (7) Intentionally cause any object to enter onto and/or remain on the Race Track without Authorisation;
 - (8) Intentionally endanger any person at the Epsom Racecourse.

Service

- 3. Pursuant to CPR r.6.15, r.6.27 and r.81.4(2)(c) and (d) (being an order to dispense with personal service), and having regard to the steps already taken by the Claimant to bring the Claim Documents to the attention of the Second to Eighth Defendants by alternative means, service of the Claim Documents on the Second to Eighth Defendants shall be effected by the Claimant by:
 - (1) posting digital copies of the Claim Documents on its website and Facebook page;
 - (2) affixing sealed copies of the Claim Documents in transparent containers in at least 2 conspicuous locations at public entrances to the Epsom Racecourse;
 - (3) providing digital copies to the organisation Animal Rising by email.

- 4. The Claimant shall effect personal service of the Order on the First Defendant.
- 5. The Claimant shall attach a copy of the Order by way of the steps set out below, thereby effecting good and sufficient service of this Order on the Second to Eighth Defendants, including for the purposes of CPR 81.4.
 - (1) posting the Order at 50-metre intervals along that part of the perimeter of the Race Track marked with an orange line on the Plan;
 - (2) posting a digital copy of the Order on its website and Facebook page;
 - (3) providing digital copies to the organisation Animal Rising by email.

Directions

d.

- 6. The Defendants and/or any other person affected by this Order may apply to the court at any time to vary or discharge it, but if they wish to do so they must give the Claimant's solicitors notice in writing at least 48 hours beforehand.
- 7. Any person applying to vary or discharge this Order must provide his or her full name and address, and an address for service.
- 8. The Claimants have liberty to apply to extend or vary this Order, or for further directions.
- 9. Costs reserved.

Name and Address of Claimants' Solicitors

10. The Applicant's solicitors are Pinsent Masons LLP, of 30 Crown Place, Earl Street, London, EC2A 4ES; +44 (0) 20 7418 7000.

Interpretation of this Order

- 11. In this Order the words "he" "him" or "his" include "she" or "her" and "it" or "its".
- 12. Where there are two or more Defendants then (unless the contrary appears)
 - (1) References to "the Defendant" mean both or all of them;
 - (2) An Order requiring "the Defendant" to do or not to do anything requires each Defendant to do or not to do it.

The Effect of this Order

13. A Defendant who is an individual who is ordered not to do something must not do it himself or in any other way. He must not do it through others acting on his behalf or on his instructions or with his encouragement.

26 May 2023

SCHEDULE 1

Witness statements

The Judge read the Witness Statements of the following individuals before making this Order:

- (1) Nevin Truesdale, Group Chief Executive of the Claimant;
- (2) Dickon White, Aintree and North-West Regional Director of the Claimant;
- (3) Amy Starkey, Managing Director of the Claimant;
- (4) Simon Knapp, Senior Veterinary Officer for London Region Races for the Claimant;
- (5) Julian Diaz-Rainey, Partner of Pinsent Masons.

SCHEDULE 2

Undertaking given to the Court by the Claimant

If the Court later finds that this Order has caused loss to the Defendants or any other Party served with or notified of this Order and decides that the Defendants or other Party should be compensated for that loss, the Claimant will comply with any Order the Court may make.

SCHEDULE 3

Witness statement: service

The Claimant will provide a witness statement confirming personal service of the Order on the First Defendant.

ġ,







Orange line showing perimeter of the racetrack where the order will be posted



Protected Areas





Temporary rope in position to separate horses from spectators



Temporary rope in position to separate horses from spectators





Case No. [BL-2023-000713]

BETWEEN:

JOCKEY CLUB RACECOURSES LIMITED

Claimant/Applicant

and

(1) DANIEL FRANK PETER KIDBY (2) PERSONS UNKNOWN ENTERING THE AREA DESCRIBED IN THE DRAFT ORDER AS THE "RACE TRACK" ON THE DAY OF A "RACING FIXTURE", EXCEPT AT "CROSSING POINTS" WITH "AUTHORISATION", AS DESCRIBED IN THE DRAFT ORDER (3) PERSONS UNKNOWN ENTERING AND/OR REMAINING ON ANY "CROSSING POINTS" WITHOUT "AUTHORISATION" ON THE DAY OF A "RACING FIXTURE", AS DESCRIBED IN THE DRAFT ORDER (4) PERSONS UNKNOWN ENTERING THE AREA DESCRIBED IN THE DRAFT ORDER AS THE "PARADE RING" WITHOUT "AUTHORISATION" ON THE DAY OF A "RACING FIXTURE", AS DESCRIBED IN THE DRAFT ORDER (5) PERSONS UNKNOWN ENTERING AND/OR REMAINING ON ANY PART OF THE AREAS DESCRIBED IN THE DRAFT ORDER AS THE "HORSES' ROUTE TO THE PARADE RING" AND/OR THE "HORSES' ROUTE TO THE RACE TRACK" WITHOUT "AUTHORISATION" ON THE DAY OF A "RACING FIXTURE", AS DESCRIBED IN THE DRAFT ORDER (6) PERSONS UNKNOWN INTENTIONALLY OBSTRUCTING THE "HORSE RACES", AS DESCRIBED IN THE DRAFT ORDER (7) PERSONS UNKNOWN INTENTIONALLY CAUSING ANY OBJECT TO ENTER ONTO AND/OR REMAIN ON THE "RACE TRACK" WITHOUT "AUTHORISATION" ON THE DAY OF A "RACING FIXTURE", AS DESCRIBED IN THE DRAFT ORDER (8) PERSONS UNKNOWN INTENTIONALLY ENDANGERING ANY PERSON AT THE LOCATION DESCRIBED IN THE DRAFT ORDER AS THE "EPSOM RACECOURSE" ON THE DAY OF A "RACING FIXTURE", AS DESCRIBED IN THE DRAFT ORDER

Defendants/Respondents

THE APPLICANT'S SKELETON ARGUMENT FOR THE APPLICATION HEARING Listed at 10:30am on 26 May 2023 Suggested pre-reading (with a time estimate of 1 hour): (1) the Applicant's skeleton argument, (2) the draft Order and Plan, (3) the witness statements of Nevin Truesdale, Amy Starkey, Dickon White, Simon Knapp, and Julian Diaz-Rainey.

References in the format **[DB/*]** *are to page numbers in the Documents Bundle, and* **[AB/*]** *to page numbers in the Authorities Bundle, provided by the Applicant.*

References in the format e.g. **[Truesdale (D/B*) §*]** *are to the witness statement of the named individual, the first page of the witness statement in the Documents Bundle, and the relevant paragraph.*

A. INTRODUCTION

- This is an application for an interim *quia timet* injunction to restrain one known and other unknown persons from disrupting horse races at Epsom Racecourse ("the Application"). The injunction is sought, in particular, to restrain protesters from disrupting the Oaks meeting on 2 June and the Epsom Derby meeting on 3 June 2023.
- 2. The terms of the injunction sought are set out in the draft Order **[DB/14]**. These intend to prevent protesters from trespassing on various parts of the Applicant's land and/or unlawfully interfering with the Applicant's statutory right to hold racing fixtures (as set out in Section B below).
- 3. Attached to the draft Order is a set of aerial photographs identifying the areas of the Epsom Racecourse the Applicant seeks to protect ("**the Plan**"; also at **[DB/57-62]**).
- 4. The Applicant is the largest commercial horseracing organisation in the UK. It is the freehold owner of the Epsom Downs, and of the Epsom Racecourse (marked with a red line on the Plan) [Truesdale (DB/30) §7-8, 18] [DB/56].
- 5. Daniel Frank Peter Kidby ("R1") describes himself as the co-founder of Animal Rising, a collective of individuals with a shared purpose said to be focused on animal welfare ("AR") [Truesdale (DB/30) §10] [DB/173]. AR is believed to have some 1,000 active participants [DB/46]. It is clear that R1, together with AR, disrupted the races at the Grand National on 15 April 2023. AR has publicly shared its plans to disrupt the

forthcoming Epsom Derby, with a wider programme of "mass trespass onto animal racing events" [White (DB/188) §§19-27] [Truesdale (DB/30) §§38-41] [DB/115-118].

6. The Applicant knows that individuals affiliated with AR are intending to trespass on the racetrack and/or otherwise disrupt the races at the Epsom Derby, but other than R1, does not know the identities of the individuals intending or actively considering whether to do so. For this reason, the Application is brought against Persons Unknown ("Rs 2 to 8").

B. LEGAL BACKGROUND

i. Injunctions against Persons Unknown

- 7. The Court of Appeal in *Ineos Upstream Ltd v Persons Unknown* [2019] 4 WLR 100 established that an injunction may be granted against persons unknown who are not currently in existence but will come into existence when they commit the prohibited act (§30) [AB/67]. Such persons are "Newcomers", against whom both interim and final injunctions may be ordered (*Barking and Dagenham v Persons Unknown* [2023] QB 295, §§7, 123 [AB/157]).¹
- The Court of Appeal provided guidance on interim relief against persons unknown at §82 of *Canada Goose UK Retail Limited v Persons Unknown* [2020] 1 WLR 2802 [AB/79]:²

"(1) The "persons unknown" defendants in the claim form are, by definition, people who have not been identified at the time of the commencement of the proceedings. If they are known and have been identified, they must be joined as individual defendants to the proceedings. The "persons unknown" defendants must be people who have not been identified but are capable of being identified and served with the proceedings, if necessary

¹ Injunctions may also be granted against (i) anonymous defendants who are identifiable but whose names are unknown (e.g. squatters, identifiable by location if not name), and (ii) anonymous defendants who cannot be identified (e.g. hit and run drivers) (*Cameron v Liverpool Victoria Insurance Co Ltd (Motor Insurers' Bureau Intervening)* [2019] 1 WLR 1471 at §13, per Lord Sumption).

² The principles at §82 remain good law. The Court of Appeal in *Barking and Dagenham* (§§97-100) refused to follow parts of the Court of Appeal's reasoning in *Canada Goose* (§§89-92), but not this paragraph.

by alternative service such as can reasonably be expected to bring the proceedings to their attention. In principle, such persons include both anonymous defendants who are identifiable at the time the proceedings commence but whose names are unknown and also Newcomers, that is to say people who in the future will join the protest and fall within the description of the "persons unknown".

(2) The "persons unknown" must be defined in the originating process by reference to their conduct which is alleged to be unlawful.

(3) Interim injunctive relief may only be granted if there is a sufficiently real and imminent risk of a tort being committed to justify quia timet relief.

(4) As in the case of the originating process itself, the defendants subject to the interim injunction must be individually named if known and identified or, if not and described as "persons unknown", must be capable of being identified and served with the order, if necessary by alternative service, the method of which must be set out in the order.

(5) The prohibited acts must correspond to the threatened tort. They may include lawful conduct if, and only to the extent that, there is no other proportionate means of protecting the claimant's rights.

(6) The terms of the injunction must be sufficiently clear and precise as to enable persons potentially affected to know what they must not do. The prohibited acts must not, therefore, be described in terms of a legal cause of action, such as trespass or harassment or nuisance. They may be defined by reference to the defendant's intention if that is strictly necessary to correspond to the threatened tort and done in non-technical language which a defendant is capable of understanding and the intention is capable of proof without undue complexity. It is better practice, however, to formulate the injunction without reference to intention if the prohibited tortious act can be described in ordinary language without doing so.

(7) The interim injunction should have clear geographical and temporal limits. It must be time limited because it is an interim and not a final injunction."

ii. Quia timet injunctions

9. Injunctions may be granted to prevent threatened or apprehended acts if the court is satisfied that the risk of an infringement of the applicant's rights causing irreparable harm is "imminent and real". "Real" means that "there is a real probability that in time the activities of the [respondent] will result in actual damage" to the applicant's interests; "imminent" means "that the injunction must not be granted prematurely" (Hooper v Rogers [1975] Ch 43, pp.49-50 [AB/3]).

iii. The test for granting an injunction

- 10. The court will be familiar with s. 37 of the Senior Courts Act 1981, which provides that it may grant an injunction where it is "*just and convenient to do so*." This requires that the claimant have an interest which merits protection, and a legal or equitable principle which justifies protecting that interest by ordering the defendant not to do something (*Re G (Court of Protection: Injunction)* [2022] 3 WLR 1339, §55)³.
- 11. The test for an interim injunction under CPR 25.1(1)(a), is usually that stated in *American Cyanamid Co v Ethicon Ltd* [1975] AC 396: involving consideration of whether there is a serious question to be tried, the adequacy of damages for either party, and the balance of convenience.
- 12. The first part of that test ("serious question to be tried") is modified in cases in which the court is considering "whether to grant any relief which, if granted, might affect the exercise of the Convention right to freedom of expression" (s. 12 of the Human Rights Act 1998 ("HRA") [AB/213]). The court must then be satisfied that the applicant is "likely to establish", at trial, that the act in question should not be allowed (s. 12(3) HRA).
- 13. "Likely" here simply means "more likely than not," although a "lesser degree of likelihood will suffice" where the potential adverse consequences of the apprehended act are particularly grave (Cream Holdings v Banerjee [2005] 1 AC 253, §22 [AB/13]).
- 14. If the respondent is neither present nor represented, the court must also be satisfied "(*a*) that the applicant has taken all practicable steps to notify the respondent; or (*b*) that there are compelling reasons why the respondent should not be notified" (s. 12(2) HRA).

iv. <u>Trespass</u>

15. Trespass to land consists of "any unjustifiable intrusion by one person upon land in the possession of another", which is "actionable without proof of damage" (Clerk & Lindsell on

³ Baker LJ, giving the judgment of the Court of Appeal and considering the judgment of Lord Leggatt in *Convoy Collateral Ltd v Broad Idea International Ltd* [2022] 2 WLR 703 ("Broad Idea").

Torts (23rd Ed.) §§18-01, 18-08 **[AB/257]**). One who has a right of entry upon another's land and acts in excess of that right, also commits trespass (*Cambridge City Council v Traditional Cambridge Tours Ltd* [2018] LLR 458 **[AB/47]**).

v. <u>Rights in the land on the Epsom Downs and rights of access thereto</u>

- 16. Members of the public are granted limited rights of access over the Epsom Downs under the Epsom and Walton Downs Regulation Act 1984 Act ("the Act") [AB/215], and in accordance with the Byelaws made by The Epsom & Walton Downs Conservators on 18 October 2005 ("the Byelaws") [AB/249].⁴
- 17. Section 4 of the Act grants the public the "*right of access for air and exercise on foot over the Downs*". It clearly states, however, that "*nothing in this section shall authorise any interference with ... any ... rights conferred upon the Company ... by this Act.*"
- 18. It is the Applicant's right (as "*The Company*" under the Act) to hold and conduct horse races at "*Authorised Meetings*" on the Epsom Downs on up to 16 days in a year (more commonly referred to as "**Racing Fixtures**": s. 14).
- 19. Section 17 of the Act provides that the Applicant may hold Racing Fixtures *"notwithstanding anything contained in this Act or in any byelaws made under this Act"*. Pursuant to s. 17, the Applicant may:
 - On the day of a Racing Fixture, control access over the Downs to a broad area of land encompassing the Epsom Racecourse ("the Relevant Area")⁵, and to the paddock, more commonly known as the "Parade Ring" (s. 17(3));

⁴ The Conservators were incorporated under the Epsom and Walton Downs Regulation Act 1936 with powers to regulate, preserve and control the Epsom and Walton Downs. The 1936 Act was repealed but the Conservators' powers retained. The Applicant is a Conservator, holding 3 of 10 places **[Truesdale (DB/30) §19]**.

⁵ The Relevant Area is referred to in the Act and the Byelaws as the "Race Course", by reference to markings on a "deposited map" produced with the legislation. This should not be confused with the area constituting the "Epsom Racecourse", marked within the red line on the Plan. For these purposes, it is sufficient to note that the Relevant Area includes the Epsom Racecourse.

- (2) On all days, exclude members of the public from walking on the Relevant Area except on at least seven crossing places, and on the day of a Racing Fixture, exclude members of the public from said crossing places as well (save that in the three days prior to a Racing Fixture, the Applicant must maintain a passage of 1-2 metres across the northern part of the Relevant Area: ss. 17(5)(a), 17(16)).⁶
- (3) On the day of a Racing Fixture, exclude members of the public from the Relevant Area, subject to the payment of a charge as the Applicant sees fit (s. 17(1)(b)).
- 20. The Byelaws, made pursuant to s. 11 of the Act, provide that:
 - Without the consent of the Conservators, a person may not walk on the Relevant Area except at the crossing places identified in s. 17(5)(a) of the Act (s. 2(1)(j));
 - (2) No person shall "intentionally obstruct, endanger or give reasonable cause for annoyance to any other person in the proper use of the Downs" (s. 2(2)(e).
- 21. "*Proper use of the Downs*" for the purpose of s. 2(2)(e) of the Byelaws necessarily includes the Applicant's right to hold Racing Fixtures under ss. 14 and 17 of the Act. In accordance with ss. 4, 14, 17 of the Act and s. 2(2)(e) of the Byelaws, therefore, the Applicant has a clear legal right to hold Racing Fixtures without interference, intentional obstruction or danger intentionally caused to any person on the Downs.
- 22. A breach of the Byelaws is a criminal offence, punishable by a fine of up to £500 (s. 20). A police officer or servant of the Conservators may also in certain circumstances, and after due warning, remove from the Downs individuals considered to have breached the Byelaws (s. 18).

⁶ The crossing places across the Downs referenced in the Act should not be confused with the "Crossing Points" which traverse the Race Track itself, marked with blue lines on the Plan.

vi. <u>The parties' Convention rights</u>

- 23. The right to engage in public protest is, of course, protected by Articles 10 and 11 of the European Convention on Human Rights ("ECHR"). However, these rights to freedom of expression and peaceful assembly are qualified. Under Articles 10(2) and 11(2), they may be subject to restrictions "as are prescribed by law and are necessary in a democratic society, in the interests of … public safety, for the prevention of disorder or crime, … for the protection of the reputation or rights of others." In determining the necessity of a restriction in a democratic society, the court "must assess the proportionality of the interference with the aim pursued" (Cuadrilla Bowland Limited & Ors v Persons Unknown [2020] 4 WLR 29, §41 [AB/105]).
- 24. The Court of Appeal stated in *Ineos* that "[*t*]*he citizen's right of protest is not to be diminished by advance fear of committal except in the clearest of cases, of which trespass is perhaps the best example*" (§42) [AB/67]. Indeed, the object of the law of trespass "*is to protect property rights in accordance with [Article 1 of Protocol 1 of the ECHR]*" ("A1P1") (*DPP v Cuciurean* [2022] QB 888, §46 [AB/127]). The court must balance the Applicant's Convention rights with those of the Respondents.
- 25. There is also an important distinction "between protests which cause disruption as an inevitable side-effect and protests which are deliberately intended to cause disruption, for example by impeding activities of which the protesters disapprove" (Cuadrilla §§42-43 [AB/105]). Deliberately disruptive protests are not at the core of the Article 11 freedoms (although they may fall within its scope), and restrictions in respect of such protests "may much more readily be justified" (Cuadrilla §§43-447 [AB/105]).

C. <u>THE ORDER SOUGHT</u>

26. The Applicant seeks the minimum restrictions necessary to ensure that the races on2 and 3 June can go ahead safely. The terms at subparagraphs 2(1)-(5) of the draft

 ⁷ Citing the Strasbourg jurisprudence, and particularly, <u>Kudrevičius v Lithuania</u> (2016) 62 E.H.R.R. 34, §97.

Order seek to prevent trespass on the areas of the Epsom Racecourse which require protection for this purpose, namely: (i) the Race Track, (ii) the Parade Ring, (iii) the Horses' Route to the Parade Ring, and (iv) the Horses' Route to the Race Track, as described at paragraph 1 of the draft Order, by reference to the Plan ("**the Protected Areas**") **[DB/14-24]**.

- 27. The routes referenced at points (iii) and (iv) are open to the public until such time as the horses are led out. At that point, the parts of the pathways which are not fenced off are closed by stewards holding rope (as can be seen in the fourth photograph in the Plan). At that point, no spectators are authorised to be on the pathways, for the protection of the horses and spectators alike **[Truesdale (DB/30) §§22-23]**.
- 28. Subparagraphs 2(6)-(8) of the draft Order seek to protect the Applicant's right under the Act, in accordance with the Byelaws, to hold Race Meetings without interference, intentional obstruction, or danger intentionally caused to individuals on the Downs.

D. WHY THE INJUCNTION SHOULD BE GRANTED

i. <u>There is an imminent and real risk of irreparable harm.</u>

- 29. On the evidence before the Court, there is a very clear imminent and real probability of actual damage to the Applicant's interests if injunctive relief is not obtained, and in particular that AR will attempt to access the Protected Areas and disrupt the races on 2 and 3 June 2023. AR told the Applicant on 19 May 2023: "[W]e are unwavering in our intention to stop the race by getting onto the race course using non-violent means, no matter the sacrifice we make to our personal liberty and comfort" [DB/179]. AR is actively recruiting individuals through its website to "Join us on the tracks of the Epsom Derby!" [Truesdale (DB/30) §49.1] [DB/53].
- 30. The high probability of AR following through with its plans is demonstrated by AR's disruption of the recent Grand National at Aintree Racecourse on 15 April 2023. Some 100 protesters scaled the perimeter fence to the racecourse (with ladders and plastic

sheeting to protect themselves from barbed wire) and ran onto the racetrack. Three protesters, who the Applicant believes included R1, ran on to the racetrack having accessed the event with tickets [White (DB/188) §26] [Truesdale (DB/30) §41]. It is understood that the protesters intended to glue themselves to the horse jumps [White (DB/188) §§24, 27] [DB/73-101, 197-202].⁸ The race was delayed by approximately 15 minutes, and the police made 118 arrests [White (DB/188) §31] [DB/46].⁹

- 31. The high probability of the planned disruptions occurring poses a real probability of significant and irreparable damage to the Applicant's interests. A delayed race is not a mere inconvenience: it is deeply damaging to the reputation of the race, and to the Applicant's relationships with sponsors, patrons, broadcasters and others **[Truesdale (DB/30) §§62-67]**.
- 32. There is also a real issue with safety, of both horses and humans. The trainer of the horse which suffered a fatal fall at the Grand National has explained that, in his view, the 15-minute delay caused by protesters was a major reason for the three fallen horses and five unseated jockeys in that race [White (DB/188) §32.1] [DB/218]. Moreover, the horses at the Epsom Derby are younger (3 year old colts), and so both less experienced and more excitable than those at the Grand National, and delays may agitate or frustrate them causing them to "*rear up, kick out, or barge through*", posing serious risks to anyone present [Knapp (DB/222) §§8-10].
- 33. Further, the Race Track at Epsom contains blind corners such as Tattenham Corner (where the suffragette, Emily Davison, entered the track before she was knocked down and fatally injured) **[Truesdale (DB/30) §26]**. Protesters accessing the tracks,

⁸ Other tactics involved lying down limp, requiring several police officers to carry one individual away, and a slow march around the racecourse perimeter **[DB/46]**.

⁹ AR protesters also ran onto the tracks at the Epsom Derby in 2022 [DB/46], the Scottish Grand National at Ayr Racecourse on 22 April 2023, [Truesdale (DB/30) §42] [DB/102-111], and at Doncaster Racecourse on 6 May 2023 [DB/112-115].

or security personnel following them, may not be aware if a race is underway or a horse is nearby and, as Ms Davison's fate demonstrates, would be at risk of very serious harm.

34. The Applicant has invited AR to desist from its plans, and offered a location for a peaceful protest by AR **[Starkey (DB/163) §§20-21]**. The Applicant has also highlighted the actions it takes to protect the horses' welfare, and drawn attention to criminal offences which may apply to AR's actions. None of this was sufficient to deter AR from its plans to disrupt the Derby, and in the Applicant's submission, an injunction is now a necessary and urgent step. The *quia timet* test is amply satisfied.

ii. The Applicant is more likely than not to succeed in obtaining a final injunction

- 35. The Applicant is more likely than not to succeed in obtaining a final injunction: (i) the Applicant's proprietary rights merit protection under the law of trespass and A1P1, and its statutory rights are entitled to the protection of the Courts; (ii) the restrictions on the Respondents' Convention rights that would ensue from the relief sought are justified to protect the Applicants' said rights and other legitimate aims including public safety and order; and (iii) an injunction is just and convenient.
- 36. AR's planned disruptions clearly fall within the second category of protests described at paragraph 25 above, which the Court of Appeal (by reference to Strasbourg jurisprudence) held are not at the core of Article 11 and may more readily be restricted.
- 37. There is overwhelming justification for restrictions on AR's deliberately disruptive protests, which are required to protect <u>three</u> of the legitimate aims described under Articles 10(2) and 11(2): public safety, the prevention of disorder and crime, and the reputation and rights of others. AR's plans threaten significant risks of injury, mass arrests (as at the Grand National), the diversion of police and security resources

[White (DB/188) §32.3] and damage to the Applicant's reputation and legal rights [Truesdale (DB/30) §§62-67].

- 38. The proposed restrictions are proportionate. The Applicant has offered a dedicated space for peaceful protest, and asked members of AR whether there are alternative means, other than disrupting the races, by which they consider they can pursue their objectives. AR stated that there are not **[Starkey (DB/163) §16]**.
- 39. That being so, the Applicant submits that the proposed relief is necessary and proportionate, and it would be just and convenient for a final injunction to be granted at trial, and for an interim injunction to be granted on this Application.
- iii. The balance of convenience falls in favour of granting an interim injunction
- 40. Further to the above, the Applicant submits that the balance of convenience falls decisively in favour of granting the interim injunction for the reasons set out below.
- 41. The Epsom Derby is a much-loved sporting event¹⁰, which has taken place since 1780 [Truesdale (DB/30) §13]. Approximately 100,000 people attend the Derby, with millions watching around the world [Truesdale (DB/30) §17]. The Derby is also known as the "People's Race" as it embraces people from all walks of life, offering free access to parts of the event from the Epsom Downs [Truesdale (DB/30) §14]. AR should not be allowed deliberately to obstruct the wider public's legitimate enjoyment of the sport.
- 42. Animal welfare is at the heart of the sport, which is heavily regulated and attracts significant investment **[Truesdale (DB/30) §§28-34]**. No race runs unless British Horseracing Authority ("**BHA**") criteria are satisfied, and industry statistics show that 99.5% of horses that race finish safely **[Truesdale (DB/30) §30]**. At the Epsom

10

Queen Elizabeth II was a patron of the Applicant and the Thoroughbred Breeders' Association, and, as is well known, very rarely and reluctantly ever missed a Derby [Truesdale (DB/30) §§7, 14].

Derby, both the Applicant and BHA provide specialist veterinary teams [Truesdale (DB/30) §34].

- 43. Finally, the protesters' actions have a significant financial impact on the Applicant, which has been required to spend £150,000 on additional security measures for the Epsom Derby, and extensive management time in discussions with various stakeholders [Truesdale (DB/30) §§58-60]. These include Surrey Police, which is supportive of the Application [Truesdale (DB/30) §60].
- 44. Damages are not an adequate remedy for the Applicant, even assuming there was any source for payment, which is to say the least improbable.

iv. All practicable steps have been taken to notify the Respondents

45. The Applicant has taken all practicable steps to notify the Respondents of the Application, as is required by s. 12(2) HRA if the Respondents are not present or unrepresented. The relevant steps are set out in Section E below.

v. Conclusion on the injunction

- 46. In light of the submissions above, the Applicant submits that it is just and convenient for the court to grant an interim injunction.
- 47. It is not clear to the Applicant what damage the Respondents may incur in consequence of an order granting the injunction. However, if the court considers it necessary for the Applicant to provide a cross-undertaking in damages, the Applicant is willing to do so, and has provided its most recent accounts [Truesdale (DB/30) §74] [DB/124-162].

E. <u>SERVICE</u>

i. <u>Legal principles</u>

48. CPR 6.15(1) provides that the Court may make an order permitting service of the claim form by an alternative method or at an alternative place, where there is *"good*

reason" to do so. Under CPR 6.15(2), the Court may order that steps already taken to bring the claim form to the defendant's attention by alternative means are good service. CPR 6.27 states that CPR 6.15 applies to any document in the proceedings as it applies to a claim form.

- 49. Whether there is "good reason" is a matter of fact; there need not be exceptional circumstances (c.f. CPR 6.16; *Abela v Baadarani* [2013] 1 WLR 2043, §§33-35 **[AB/25]**). The essential requirement is that the means used should be such as can reasonably be expected to bring the proceedings to the respondents' attention of the defendant (*Canada Goose* §82 **[AB/79]**: see paragraph 8 above).
- 50. Appropriate forms of alternative service may include: (i) exhibiting copies of the relevant documents in transparent envelopes around the premises where the protests would take place; (ii) advertising and making copies available online; and (iii) sending a copy and a press release to news outlets (*Canada Goose* §50 [AB/79]; *Cuadrilla* §19 [AB/105]).
- 51. In respect of the known respondent, Mr Kidby, personal service is required in respect of a Court order for the purpose of any later enforcement proceedings under CPR 81, but not in respect of the claim form or other documents. A claim form can be served on an individual by leaving it at his/her last known address (CPR 6.3(c), 6.9).
- 52. CPR 6.20(c) provides that documents other than the claim form may be left at an address specified in CPR 6.23, which states that parties to any proceedings must give an address for service, at which address documents may be left.¹¹

ii. Why permission should be granted

¹¹ The White Book states (§6.23.1): "The former r.6.5(6) anticipated that a party might fail to provide an address for service of documents and explained how service should be effected in that circumstance. In relation to the service of claim forms, that provision is in effect replicated in r.6.9(2), but it is not replicated in r.6.23."

- 53. Service must necessarily be effected on unknown respondents by alternative means, given that their identities are not known. There is good reason for service by alternative means, therefore, and the Applicant has taken all reasonable steps to bring the Claim Documents to the attention of Rs 2 to 8, following the steps referenced in paragraph 50 above [Diaz-Rainey (DB/227) §§11-15].¹² Moreover, AR issued a response to the Application on its website at approximately 6.30pm on 22 May 2023 [Diaz-Rainey (DB/227) §8] [DB/234].
- 54. The Applicant considers that the same steps can reasonably be expected to bring the Order to the attention of Rs 2 to 8 if an injunction is granted, and invites the court to grant permission for alternative service of the Order to be effected on Rs 2 to 8 by the means set out at paragraph 3 of the draft Order.
- 55. It was not possible personally to serve the Claim Documents on R1 as the Applicant intended; however, personal service is not required by the CPR rules. Insofar as it is necessary to do so, the Applicant invites the court to direct that the steps taken leaving copies in R1's letterbox, and emailing him digital copies amount to good service [Diaz-Rainey (DB/227) §§5-7] [DB/231-232]. The Applicant received a notification that R1 had downloaded the documents at 5.24pm on 22 May 2023, and the AR's response on its website was almost entirely a quote attributed to R1 [Diaz-Rainey (DB/227) §§7-8].

F. CONCLUSION

56. In all the circumstances, the Applicant respectfully invites the court to: (i) grant the injunction in the terms set out in the draft Order; (ii) direct that good service of the Claim Documents has been effected on each of the Respondents; and (iii) order that

¹² The Claim Documents are those defined in the draft Order, namely, the Claim Form, the sealed Application Notice and draft Order, the Witness Statements of Mr Truesdale, Ms Starkey, Mr White and Mr Knapp, and the exhibits thereto.

service of the Order, if the injunction is granted, be effected on Rs 2 to 8 in accordance with paragraph 3 of the draft Order.

ALAN MACLEAN KC ANTONIA EKLUND Blackstone Chambers 25 May 2023